AGENDA

Written communications from the public must be received by 8:30 am on the Thursday preceding a regular Board meeting in order to be included on the agenda and considered by the Board at that meeting. Government Code Section 54954.2 prohibits the Board from taking action on items not posted on the agenda except as provided in Subsection 54954.2(b).

A. CALL TO ORDER, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, AND ROLL CALL

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Thomas Slosson, President
Andres Santamaria, Vice President
Scott H. Quady, Treasurer
Andy Waters, Secretary
Steve Blois, Director

B. MINUTES

Action: It is recommended that the Board approve the April 19, 2017 minutes.

C. WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

1. Coalition letter to State Assembly Member Eduardo Garcia opposing Assembly Bills 791, 792, and 793, relating to the California WaterFix

2. Coalition letter to State Assembly Member Jim Frazier opposing Assembly Bill 732, relating to Delta levee maintenance

3. Letter from Calleguas MWD to State Senator Anthony Canella opposing Senate Bill 496, relating to contract indemnity for design professionals

D. ORAL COMMUNICATION

Members of the public may address the Board on items within the jurisdiction of the Board that do not appear on the agenda. Please limit remarks to three minutes.
E. GENERAL MANAGER

1. April 2017 Monthly Status Report

F. FINANCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES

1. March 2017 Financial Statements
2. Quarterly Investment Report for the period ending March 31, 2017

G. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

1. Discussion regarding Resolution No. 1918, approving and adopting the 2015 Ventura County Hazard Mitigation Plan
   Action: It is recommended that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1918.

H. RESOURCES AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

1. Discussion regarding Resolution No. 1917, supporting the Association of California Water Agencies’ policy statement on Bay-Delta Flow Requirements
   Action: It is recommended that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1917

I. ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION

1. Discussion regarding authorization of a budget increase in the amount of $300,000 for the contract for As-Needed Pipeline Services
   Action: It is recommended that the Board authorize the budget increase.
2. Discussion regarding Agreement for Adjusting Facilities Required by City of Camarillo Projects
   Action: It is recommended that the Board authorize the General Manager to sign the agreement.

J. STRATEGIC PLANNING

K. DISTRICT COUNSEL

L. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. Oral report on meetings attended by Board members
2. Discussion regarding upcoming meetings to be attended by Board members
3. Metropolitan update
M. OTHER BUSINESS

N. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

O. ADJOURNMENT to May 17, 2017 at 5:00 p.m.

Pursuant to Section 202 of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. Sec. 12132), and applicable federal rules and regulations, requests for disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to attend or participate in a meeting, should be made to the Secretary to the Board in advance of the meeting to ensure the availability of the requested service or accommodation. Notices, agendas, and public documents related to the Board meetings can be made available in appropriate alternative format upon request.
* An asterisk indicates that additional paperwork is provided in the packet.

C. WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

1. **Coalition letter to State Assembly Member Eduardo Garcia opposing Assembly Bills 791, 792, and 793, relating to the California WaterFix***

   This letter voices opposition to three bills that would create roadblocks for California WaterFix.
   
   - AB 791 would impose new and unnecessary requirements on DWR to provide certain information about the costs and benefits of the new water delivery system improvements on a water-contractor-by-water-contractor basis before any water contractor could enter any contracts to fund design, construction and mitigation.
   
   - AB 792 would require the Delta Stewardship Council (Council) to affirmatively “grant” a certification of consistency with the Delta Plan before construction could begin, and the Council could not “grant” the certification until after the State Water Resources Control Board adopts an update to the 2006 Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan.
   
   - AB 793 would declare it to be state policy that the existing Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta is recognized and defined as an integral component of California's water infrastructure.

2. **Coalition letter to State Assembly Member Jim Frazier opposing Assembly Bill 732, relating to Delta levee maintenance***

   This letter voices opposition to a bill that would permanently obligate State General Fund money for levee maintenance on private land in the Delta.

3. **Letter from Calleguas MWD to State Senator Anthony Canella opposing Senate Bill 496, relating to contract indemnity for design professionals***

   This letter voices opposition to a bill that would prohibit a public agency from requiring that a design engineer defend a claim directly connected to the work of that engineer.

E. GENERAL MANAGER

1. **April 2017 Monthly Status Report***
F. FINANCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES

1. March 2017 Financial Statements*

2. Quarterly Investment Report for the period ending March 31, 2017**

G. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

1. Discussion regarding Resolution No. 1918, approving and adopting the 2015 Ventura County Hazard Mitigation Plan*
   
   **Action:** It is recommended that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1918.

   This resolution formally approves and adopts the Ventura County Hazard Mitigation Plan (HMP), an action which is required by federal law and is a prerequisite for receiving certain financial assistance, including FEMA reimbursements. The HMP is a combined effort of dozens of public agencies under the leadership of the Ventura County Office of Emergency Services. It documents the risk of hazards such as earthquakes, floods, landslides, and wildfires in various parts of the county. Using this information, the agencies developed their own mitigation plans, which are incorporated into the County HMP. The HMP has been adopted by the Ventura County Board of Supervisors, approved by the Department of Homeland Security Federal Emergency Management Agency, and is consistent with the State of California Hazard Mitigation Plan.

   The HMP can be found at the following link: https://www.dropbox.com/s/5f7psc0oyjni7dqa/Ventura%20HMP_Main%20Body_September%202015-PV.pdf?dl=0 The appendices to the HMP describe critical infrastructure and hazard mitigation measures for each public agency, including Calleguas. In order to protect critical infrastructure from people with malicious intent, the appendices are not public documents and are not included at the link.

H. RESOURCES AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

1. Discussion regarding Resolution No. 1917, supporting the Association of California Water Agencies’ policy statement on Bay-Delta Flow Requirements**
   
   **Action:** It is recommended that the Board adopt Resolution No. 1917

   The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) is in the midst of a four-phase process of developing and implementing updates to the Bay-Delta Plan and flow objectives for priority tributaries to the Delta to protect beneficial uses in the Bay-Delta Watershed. Phase I of the process suggests amendments involving San Joaquin River flow objectives and southern Delta salinity objectives. The State Board has proposed to increase flows for fish and wildlife, with their staff recommending that a range of between 30 and 50 percent of unimpaired flow remain in the system for the benefit of fish species, with a starting point of 40 percent.
In response, The Association of California Water Agencies’ (ACWA’s) Board of Directors recently adopted a comprehensive policy statement (see Board packet insert) urging the State Board to set aside its problematic “unimpaired flow” approach. The singular focus on unimpaired flow is considered to be incompatible with the state’s policy of coequal goals and other broader policy commitments in the Brown Administration’s California Water Action Plan.

ACWA’s statement calls on the State Board to heed Gov. Jerry Brown’s call for negotiated agreements, which have proven successful in achieving positive ecological outcomes while maintaining water supply reliability. ACWA believes the state’s policy on flows should pursue a collaborative, comprehensive approach that protects and promotes both water supply reliability and ecosystem health.

I. ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION

1. Discussion regarding authorization of a budget increase in the amount of $300,000 for the contract for As-Needed Pipeline Services

Action: It is recommended that the Board authorize the budget increase.

On October 17, 2016, the Board awarded the contract for As-Needed Pipeline Services to Blois Construction, Inc. (Blois). The award included an authorization of $300,000 under the contract.

The As-Needed Pipeline Services contract has been much more heavily utilized than anticipated. In addition to performing the routine adjustment of manholes and appurtenances to accommodate several road improvement projects, Blois has also completed emergency repairs on Oxnard-Santa Rosa Feeder, Unit 1 and Calleguas Conduit South Branch, and is currently performing emergency repair work associated with replacement of a 24-inch butterfly valve on the Salinity Management Pipeline (SMP) near Camrosa’s SMP Discharge Station and construction of a valve vault. Having Blois perform this work under the As-Needed Pipeline Services contract has avoided the need for multiple emergency declarations over the last 6 months and allowed the work to be performed more cost-effectively, since it is based on competitively bid unit price rates. Blois has been very responsive to Calleguas, even when the work has involved short notice, long hours, weekends, and overnight work.

The total expended and authorized to date under the As-Needed Pipeline Services contract is approximately $250,000. Therefore, in order to continue to allow staff to contract for work under the As-Needed Pipeline Services contract, authorization for additional budget of $300,000 is requested, bringing the total budget authorization to $600,000.
2. Discussion regarding Agreement for Adjusting Facilities Required by City of Camarillo Projects*
   
   Action: It is recommended that the Board authorize the General Manager to sign the agreement.

   Calleguas owns and operates several potable water pipelines and the SMP within the City of Camarillo (City). This agreement would allow Calleguas to authorize the City’s contractors to perform minor pipeline modifications to Calleguas’ facilities, such as the adjustment of manhole and valve covers to grade, necessitated by the City’s road improvement projects. After completion of the modifications, Calleguas would compensate the City for the design and construction costs associated with Calleguas’ facilities, plus a 15% markup. Calleguas would retain the right to make any necessary relocations or improvements with its own forces or contractor if it so desires and the right to inspect the work. This approach would be more cost effective and involve less coordination than having this work performed under the As-Needed Pipeline Services contract because the work would be included in the City’s contractor’s bid and avoid the need for separate contracting or permitting.

   Legal counsel has reviewed the Agreement. A copy of the Agreement is provided in the Board packet for reference.

J. STRATEGIC PLANNING

K. DISTRICT COUNSEL

L. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. Oral report on meetings attended by Board members

   Pursuant to Government Code Section 53232.3(d), Board members will provide oral reports on meetings attended at the expense of the District.

2. Discussion regarding upcoming meetings to be attended by Board members*

   The table of upcoming meetings is provided as a packet insert.

3. Metropolitan update

   Director Blois will provide an update on the most recent Metropolitan Board and committee meetings.
The meeting of the Board of Directors of Calleguas Municipal Water District was held at the District Office, 2100 Olsen Road, Thousand Oaks, California, on April 19, 2017.

The meeting was called to order by Thomas L. Slosson, President of the Board, at 5:05 p.m.

A. CALL TO ORDER, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, AND ROLL CALL

Directors Present: Thomas L. Slosson, President
Andres Santamaria, Vice President
Andy Waters, Secretary
Steve Blois, Director

Director Absent: Scott Quady, Treasurer

Staff Present: Susan Mulligan, General Manager
Eric Bergh, Manager of Resources
Kristine McCaffrey, Manager of Engineering
Dan Smith, Manager of Finance and Human Resources
Kara Wade, Clerk of the Board

Legal Counsel Present: Robert Cohen, Cohen & Burge, LLP, District Counsel

B. MINUTES

On a motion by Director Santamaria, seconded by Director Blois, the Board of Directors voted 4-0 to approve the April 5, 2017 minutes.

AYES: Directors Blois, Waters, Santamaria, Slosson
NOES: None

C. WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

None

D. ORAL COMMUNICATION

None
Director Slosson said that Item H-1 would be heard at this time.

H. RESOURCES AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

1. Discussion regarding Resolution No. 1916, adopting the Final Negative Declaration for the Las Posas Basin Aquifer Storage and Recovery Wellfield Emergency Generators (Spec 494)

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS 
OF CALLEGUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT 
ADOPTING THE FINAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION 
FOR THE LAS POSAS BASIN AQUIFER STORAGE AND 
RECOVERY PROGRAM – WELLFIELD EMERGENCY GENERATORS

The Manager of Resources said that in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), a draft negative declaration and initial study (ND/IS) was prepared for the project and subsequently released for public review in late December 2016. A copy was provided in the packet. The review period closed on January 31. All comments received, along with District responses, have been incorporated in the Final ND/IS.

Under CEQA, the decision-making body of the lead agency shall consider a proposed negative declaration together with any comments received during the public review process. The decision-making body shall adopt the proposed negative declaration only if it finds on the basis of the whole record before it (including the initial study and any comments received), that there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment and that the negative declaration reflects the lead agency’s independent judgment and analysis.

On a motion by Director Blois, seconded by Director Santamaria, the Board of Directors voted 4-0 to adopt Resolution No. 1916. Resolution No. 1916 is attached and made part of these minutes.

AYES: Directors Blois, Waters, Santamaria, Slosson
NOES: None

E. GENERAL MANAGER’S REPORT

None

F. FINANCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES

The Manager of Finance and Human Resources presented the reports. No action was taken.

2. Disbursements for the District’s monthly activities for March 2017

Director Waters presented the disbursement report. The Board asked questions and the managers answered them.

On a motion by Director Waters, seconded by Director Santamaria, the Board of Directors voted 3-0-1 to approve the outstanding bills for payment.

AYES: Directors Waters, Santamaria, Slosson
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: Director Blois

3. Consideration of a notice to set the time and date of a public hearing regarding Annual Adjustment to the District’s Water Rates and Fees

The Manager of Finance and Human Resources said that the purpose of this item is to set rates and fees for Calendar Year 2018. Metropolitan Water District (Metropolitan) adopted the rates for Calendar Years 2017 and 2018 on April 12, 2016 at their Board meeting. For 2018 Calleguas does not need to raise its O&M Surcharge or its Capacity Charge but does need to raise its Capital Surcharge to continue to fund the capital improvement program.

On April 1, 2015, Governor Brown issued an executive order calling for a 25 percent reduction in consumer water use in response to the historically dry conditions throughout the state. Although mandatory reductions were rescinded in May 2016, water sales continue to remain at low levels. Staff is not anticipating an increase in water sales and is continuing to budget for sales of 85,000 AF for Calendar Year 2018.

The proposed water rate, fees and charges were developed in conjunction with a preliminary Fiscal Year 2017-18 draft budget and the District’s Strategic Plan. One of the goals of the Strategic Plan is to maintain an annual rate increase below 6% while maintaining the financial integrity of the District. The proposed water rates, fees and charges will meet these objectives even with continued low sales.

It should be noted that staff has not yet assembled all of the information for development of the budget. However, the proposed budget will be complete and provided to the Board before the Board is asked to formally approve the rates. The rates may be adjusted slightly from those provided in this packet based on more complete budget figures.
To allow for required public noticing, the date for the public hearing on this matter is proposed for June 21, 2017. Below is a brief description of the proposed changes to the water rates and fees. Additional information is provided in the packet.

**Water Rates**

**Variable Rates:** The proposed 2018 rates for potable water are: Tier 1 at $1,375 per AF and Tier 2 at $1,461 per AF. This reflects 5.8% and 4.8% increases respectively. The proposed rates include a 3.7% increase in the Metropolitan Water District Tier 1 Rate, a 0% increase in Calleguas’ operating component, and a 16% increase in Calleguas’ capital component.

**Capacity Charge (CC):** The CC is based on the highest weekly flow rate for a purveyor between May 1 and September 30. Purveyors with substantial production capacity from local supplies have the ability to take less water during that high demand period, which allows for wholesale water treatment, storage, delivery, and emergency supply infrastructure to be built at smaller capacities and saves money. As a result of an increase in the Metropolitan CC and a very slight reduction in the Calleguas CC, the proposed CC for 2018 is $41,859, representing an increase of 2.2%.

**Readiness to Serve Charge (RTS):** The RTS Charge is a direct pass through from Metropolitan, with no Calleguas component. A decrease of 6.8% is proposed in 2018 based on a reduction in the Metropolitan RTS Charge.

**Temporary Water Rate:** The Temporary Water Rate is the rate charged to someone other than a purveyor, typically a contractor, and may only be used for short term purposes. It is set at two times the Tier 2 variable rate, with no CC or RTS component.

**Overall:** Considering all fixed and variable costs, as well as the lower sales, the overall increase to the purveyors is expected to average 4.9%, with slight variations due to different purveyors’ payments under the CC and RTS. All proposed changes would become effective January 1, 2018.

**Wheeling Rate**

During FY 2015-16, the District completed the Cost of Service study developing a rate to wheel water through the water system, assuming capacity for the water is available. A rate of $21.91 per acre foot was calculated for calendar year 2018 by determining the fair share of the construction costs of the pipes and dividing by the 10 year average annual delivery capacity of the pipes. The current change represents a 6.8% increase in the rate. The increase is due to the declining water sales over the last two years as well as the addition of assets related to the rehabilitation of the pipe infrastructure.
Salinity Management Pipeline (SMP) Rates

The first SMP rates were effective on January 1, 2012 and were established at a rate that would make it cost effective for purveyors to build and operate groundwater desalters. The SMP rates are not sufficient to cover the cost to build and operate the system. Since 2012, the SMP rates have been tied to the rising variable rate of potable water to keep the desalters financially viable. The proposed rates for discharge in 2018 are proposed to increase 5.8%, consistent with the increase in the potable water variable rate. The rate for brine is proposed to be $568.40 and the rate for non-brine is proposed to be $45.50. In accordance with Ordinance No. 19, Rules and Regulations for Use of the SMP, rates for SMP discharges outside the service area are 150% of the rates inside the service area.

Annexation Fees

Each year Calleguas adjusts its per-acre fee using financial information from the preceding fiscal year. The fee is based on a back property tax due calculation based on the assessed valuation of property within the District and converted into a per acre charge. The proposed per-acre Annexation Fee rate of $2,952 is proposed for 2017/18, and represents an increase of $147 or 5.2%.

The proposed 2018 rates and fees will be reviewed with the purveyors at the monthly Purveyor Meeting on April 24th.

On a motion by Director Blois, seconded by Director Santamaria, the Board of Directors voted 4-0 to set the time and date of a public hearing on the water rate and fee adjustments at 5:00 p.m. on June 21, 2017.

AYES: Directors Blois, Waters, Santamaria, Slosson
NOES: None

G. OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

None

H. RESOURCES AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS

1. Discussion regarding Resolution No. 1916, adopting the Final Negative Declaration for the Las Posas Basin Aquifer Storage and Recovery Wellfield Emergency Generators (Spec 494)

This item was heard earlier.
I. ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION

1. Discussion regarding Agreement to Install Monitoring Well on Parcel No. 500-0-200-025 (Daryl Edward Smith and Susan Lillis Smith)

The Manager of Engineering said that the agreement provides the District access to install a deep groundwater monitoring well on the above-referenced property in exchange for compensation in the amount of $18,728, which includes compensation for the removal of approximately 36 avocado trees. After construction is complete, the exact location of the well will be known, at which time the agreement provides for execution of an easement deed providing the District a 40-year easement for the well. Walt Wendelstein of Cohen & Burge reviewed the agreement. A map of the location and copy of the agreement are included in the packet.

This is the second deep monitoring well location; the first was recently completed at Wellfield No. 2. Staff is continuing to negotiate with two other property owners, with the hope of bidding two to three additional monitoring wells under a single bid package.

On a motion by Director Santamaria, seconded by Director Blois, the Board of Directors voted 4-0 to authorize the President of the Board and General Manager to execute the agreement.

AYES: Directors Blois, Waters, Santamaria, Slosson
NOES: None

J. STRATEGIC PLANNING

None

K. DISTRICT COUNSEL

None

L. BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1. Oral reports on meetings attended by Board members

Board members provided oral reports on meetings attended at the expense of the District, pursuant to Government Code Section 53232.3(d). All expenses would be filed at the end of the month, accompanied by receipts.
2. Discussion regarding upcoming meetings to be attended by Board members

The Board discussed meetings they planned to attend.

3. Metropolitan update

Director Blois provided an update on Metropolitan Board and Committee meetings.
Delta-Brown-Federal
DVL will be full as of September 2017
Aqueduct subsidence
Treated Water Surge Charge-peeking
Cyclic storage
Sites reservoir
90 inches of rain 2017 record water year
Article 21 water
Excess DWP water
Colorado River water going through White Water bypass in Coachella Valley
Lake Mead storage
Moth balling parts of un-used parts of treatment plants

No action was taken.

M. CLOSED SESSION

1. Closed Session conference with legal counsel regarding existing litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1):
   • CMWD v. Ives, Case No. VCSC 56-2014-00453699-CU-EI-VTA

At 6:30 p.m., Director Slosson adjourned to Closed Session to discuss Item M-1 as stated on the agenda.

   ------------------------------------------
   CLOSED SESSION CONTINUING

   ------------------------------------------

At 6:47 p.m., Director Slosson reconvened the meeting to Open Session.

Regarding M-1, Director Slosson stated that the Board received information and provided direction to special counsel. No action taken.
N. OTHER BUSINESS

None

O. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

None

P. ADJOURNMENT

Director Slosson declared the meeting adjourned at 6:47 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

______________________________
Andy Waters, Board Secretary
April 17, 2017 (UPDATED)

Assembly Member Eduardo Garcia  
California State Assembly  
State Capitol, Room 4140  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: ABs 791, 792 and 793 (Frazier): **OPPOSE**  
Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee: April 25, 2017

Dear Assembly Member Garcia:

We, the undersigned, regret to inform you of our opposition to three bills by Assembly Member Jim Frazier, ABs 791, 792 and 793.

After a decade of study, public dialogue and scientific analysis, in late 2016, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation released the final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix. The final EIR/EIS supports improvements to the existing water delivery system in the Delta which are designed to address environmental impacts and associated pumping restrictions imposed on operations of the state and federal water projects in the south Delta. This science-based effort has been one of the most exhaustive and rigorous public processes for any infrastructure project in the nation. Countless hours of analysis have been expended to find a solution that works for both the environment and economy.

AB 791 and AB 792, as amended on March 21 and 28, respectively, could delay constructing California WaterFix by several years, with no added benefit to the public process or environment.

AB 791 would impose new and unnecessary requirements on the “lead agency” (presumably DWR, as the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act) to provide certain information about the costs and benefits of the new water delivery system improvements on a water-contractor-by-water-contractor basis before any water contractor could enter any contracts to fund design, construction and mitigation.

This is unnecessary for three reasons. First, under existing law, that being Water Code Section 85089, such contracts or other arrangements to pay for new Delta conveyance infrastructure are a prerequisite to start of construction. Second, each public water agency that will fund California WaterFix has every incentive to determine the costs and benefits to its members or ratepayers and reach decisions in light of those analyses. Third, it is beyond DWR’s expertise to forecast for participating public water agencies what their financial risks and benefits are related to the California WaterFix. That is a decision each public water agency should make based on its particular customer needs and risk assessment.

AB 792 would take the unprecedented and unnecessary step of requiring the Delta Stewardship Council (Council) to affirmatively “grant” a certification of consistency with the Delta Plan before construction could begin, and the Council could not “grant” the certification until after the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) adopts an update to the 2006 Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan (WQCP).
AB 792 conflicts with the coequal goals and the Governor’s California Water Action Plan. Under the 2009 Delta Reform Act, the Delta Plan is required to include measures to promote options for new and improved Delta water conveyance infrastructure, new storage and for the operation of both, to further the coequal goals (see Water Code Section 85304). Indeed, the Delta Reform Act contemplated that the Bay Delta Conservation Plan, which includes new Delta conveyance, would be incorporated into the Delta Plan itself (see Water Code Section 35320). In other words, the Delta Reform Act recognized the need for new Delta conveyance to address declines in water supply reliability and the Delta ecosystem. Likewise, the Governor’s California Water Action Plan calls for new Delta conveyance, among a suite of other measures, to further the coequal goals, and to restore water supply reliability to millions of Californians. AB 792 is at odds with the Legislature’s and Governor’s plan to address the state’s coequal goals for the Delta and the state’s urgent need to address water supply reliability throughout the state.

AB 792 is also unnecessary. Under existing law, prior to construction, DWR must submit a certification of consistency with applicable Delta Plan policies as must be done by any other project in the Delta that is subject to the consistency certification process (see Water Code Section 85225). And, there is no reason to delay construction until after the SWRCB updates the WQCP. Under existing law, the coordinated operations of the State Water Project (SWP) and Central Valley Project (CVP) are already subject to SWRCB permitting authority through the change-in-point-of-diversion petition process, hearings for which are currently in process. Existing law also provides that construction of new conveyance cannot begin until the SWRCB approves the change in point of diversion petition (see Water Code Section 89088). If and when the SWRCB updates the WQCP, it has the authority to apportion the responsibilities of the SWP and CVP, as well as others in the Bay-Delta watershed, to meet any new or revised water quality objectives. AB 792 would also transform the Council into a permitting agency, not only for California WaterFix, but for every covered action in the Delta or Suisun Marsh, something that the Legislature declined to do when it passed the Delta Reform Act in 2009. And, as amended on March 28, 2017, the bill would preclude any covered action from being implemented in the Delta or Suisun Marsh until the SWRCB completes its WQCP update. That could delay needed ecosystem restoration projects, water quality projects and state funding for Delta levee maintenance or repair—the very kinds of actions that the Delta Plan is designed to encourage to achieve the coequal goals.

AB 793 would declare it to be state policy that the existing Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta is recognized and defined as an integral component of California’s water infrastructure. The bill would state that maintenance and repair of the Delta are eligible for the same forms of financing as other water collection and treatment infrastructure and would specify the maintenance and repair activities that are eligible be limited to certain cleanup-and-abatement-related restoration and conservation activities. The bill ignores the fact that the Delta, in its current state, has not been developed as a “water collection or treatment facility.” The current configuration of levees was largely completed in the early 20th century to reclaim swamp and overflow land for farming or other uses. Thus, the declaration of intent is contrary to reality and is not a sound basis for infrastructure financing.

It is also unclear what “financing” is available to water collection or water treatment infrastructure that is not available to any other infrastructure. Based on the recent draft Delta levee funding feasibility study that the Delta Protection Commission has circulated, the intent of the bill may be to lay the groundwork for the state to charge a "Delta user fee" because the Delta would be, as a whole, considered a “water collection facility" that is part of California’s water infrastructure. The bill as written is vague and could lead to requiring customers of the SWP and CVP to unfairly pay for maintenance and repair of Delta levees with no corresponding benefits to the customers and ratepayers that ultimately fund those projects.
The undersigned are committed to meeting California’s water management challenges in a manner consistent with achieving the coequal goals established by the Legislature in 2009. Changing the carefully negotiated compromises that went into the Delta Reform Act on the eve of a decision on the California WaterFix risks stranding the public water agencies’ and other state and federal agencies’ investments of funds and staff resources, thereby frustrating the coequal goals and thwarting a major component of the Governor’s California Water Action Plan.

For all of these reasons, we must oppose ABs 791, 792 and 793 and urge your NO vote on all three bills.

Sincerely,

Jeff Kightlinger
General Manager
Metropolitan Water District
of Southern California

John V. Rossi
General Manager
Western Municipal Water District

Susan B. Mulligan
General Manager
Calleguas Municipal Water District

Rex S. Hime
President & CEO
California Business Properties Association

Paul E. Shoenberger, P.E.,
General Manager
Mesa Water District

Chris Garner
General Manager
Long Beach Water Department

Richard W. Hansen, P.E.
General Manager/Chief Engineer
Three Valleys Municipal Water District

Jill Duerig
General Manager
Alameda County Zone 7 Water Agency

Jim Barrett
General Manager
Coachella Valley Water District

John D. Vega
General Manager
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

Kirby Brill
General Manager
Mojave Water Agency

David Pedersen
General Manager
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District
cc: Assembly Member Jim Frazier
    Members of the Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee
    Catherine Freeman, Chief Consultant, Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee
    Robert Spiegel, Policy Consultant, Assembly Republican Caucus
April 17, 2017

Assembly Member Jim Frazier
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 3091
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: AB 732 (Frazier): Delta Levee Subventions – OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED
Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee – April 25, 2017

Dear Assembly Member Frazier:

On behalf of the signatories noted below, we regret to inform you of our opposition to your bill, AB 732. AB 732 is as an inappropriate attempt to permanently encumber the state General Fund for levee maintenance responsibilities on private lands in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

“Beneficiaries Pay” is a concept that has long-standing support among the signatories noted below. AB 732 does not meet this standard and merits significant revisions should the bill proceed further in the legislative process.
We do support providing adequate state funds to support maintenance and improvement of Delta levees through the Delta Levees Maintenance Subventions Program, the Delta Levee Special Projects Program and through programs that implement the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan. Potential reductions in the state’s cost share from the current 75 percent maximum share will result in maximizing the state’s investments in levee maintenance and will extend available funding for more levee improvements. The state has limited resources and should utilize its available levee subvention program funding in a manner that maximizes benefits.

We also recommend a simplified ability to pay methodology should be explored. Criteria regarding economic production and value of areas should be factored into eligibility for state funding. An assessment based on an estimate of net proceeds per acre seems appropriate.

As far back as March 2007, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) has made repeated attempts to adopt a consistent methodology for determining the Delta reclamation districts’ ability to pay for levee improvements as required by existing law. In 2010, DWR’s attempt was criticized and, with the downturn in the economy at that time, the subventions program again deferred the “ability to pay” assessment. And since 2010, DWR has not renewed efforts to conduct the long-overdue assessment. There is encouraging evidence about the improved economic health of the Delta farmlands. Commodity prices have enjoyed above-inflation increases during the past decade, and Delta agriculture has undergone a degree of transition to higher-value crops such as wine grapes. In 2012, the Delta Protection Commission’s Economic Sustainability Plan found and determined that there was a “strong agricultural economy” in the Delta Primary Zone.

For these reasons, we recommend that prior to any further legislative action to extend the state cost share, AB 732 be amended to direct DWR to enlist an outside entity of sufficient independence and financial expertise to conduct an affordability and benefits assessment for the Delta Levee Subventions Program. It is simply unclear which Delta islands, if any, lack the economic standing to underwrite their own levee maintenance, and whether statewide interests merit a 75 percent public subsidy. Further justification is necessary for a long-term financial commitment at the level of state support as required under the bill.

If there is sufficient rationale to temporarily extend the current subsidy, we look forward to analyzing that assessment, and, based upon our review, would revisit our policy position on AB 732 at that time.

AB 732 provides an opportunity to advance the beneficiaries-pay principle in the Delta with due analysis and deliberation. For these reasons, we believe AB 732 is premature and will urge
members of the Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee to vote “no” on the bill, in its current form.

Sincerely,

Jeff Kightlinger
General Manager
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Charley Wilson
Executive Director
Southern California Water Committee

David Pedersen
General Manager
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District

Paul Jones, II
General Manager
Eastern Municipal Water District

Kirby Brill
General Manager
Mojave Water Agency

Thomas W. Birmingham
General Manager
Westlands Water District

Martin E. Zvirbulis
General Manager
Cucamonga Valley Water District

Richard W. Hansen, P.E.
General Manager/Chief Engineer
Three Valleys Municipal Water District

Dan Hoffman
Chair
South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce

Robert Hunter
General Manager
Municipal Water District of Orange County

Susan B. Mulligan
General Manager
Calleguas Municipal Water District

Jim Barrett
General Manager
Coachella Valley Water District

Paul E. Shoenberger, P.E.,
General Manager
Mesa Water District

Andrew Brunhart
General Manager
South Coast Water District
cc: Members of the Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee
Catherine Freeman, Chief Consultant, Assembly Water, Parks and Wildlife Committee
Robert Spiegel, Policy Consultant, Assembly Republican Caucus
April 19, 2017

The Honorable Anthony Cannella
California State Senate
State Capitol Building
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Senate Bill 496 (Cannella) – Oppose [As Amended April 5, 2017]

Dear Senator Cannella:

Calleguas Municipal Water District regrets to inform you of our opposition to SB 496, related to contract indemnity for design professionals. Calleguas is a wholesale water agency providing imported water to a population of 630,000 in Ventura County. As imported water supplies erode and infrastructure ages, our agency must spend tens of millions of dollars each year on design and construction of infrastructure.

This bill will increase the cost of construction projects and incentivize poor quality work by design professionals, ultimately raising the cost of water and reducing the reliability of the water infrastructure.

SB 496 provides that engineers and architects, known as design professionals, have no duty to defend claims against public works project owners, even in cases where the design professional is at fault. Specifically, the bill eliminates the ability of a public agency to contract with design professionals for upfront legal defense costs against claims related to a project’s design work. When contracting with a design professional, public agencies often place a clause in the agreement requiring the design professional to legally defend the public agency if a claim or lawsuit directly related to the design services work is filed against the agency. This current practice fosters an environment of collaboration between the public agency and the design professional who both have the same incentive to resolve the lawsuit or claim. It also provides a strong incentive for the design professional to perform high quality work.

This bill instead prohibits a public agency from requiring the design professional to defend a claim directly connected to the work of the design professional. This forces taxpayers to front the legal costs for the private sector, even for claims where the design professional is ultimately deemed to be 100 percent at fault. Requiring the public agency to defend the actions of the
design professional necessitates a reimbursement process that results in the public agency defending the actions of the design professional and shouldering upfront all the associated costs. The public agency would then have to seek reimbursement from the design professional, to the extent the design professional is found negligent, once a settlement is reached or the claim is fully litigated and a court or arbitrator renders a final decision. This process not only requires a public entity to front the costs for a private entity, it also creates conflict within the public-private partnership, effectually eliminating the incentive to work together towards a swift settlement.

For these reasons, Calleguas Municipal Water District respectfully opposes SB 496.

Sincerely,

Susan B. Mulligan
General Manager
Calleguas Municipal Water District

cc: Senator Hannah-Beth Jackson
Senator Henry Stern
Assemblymember Jacqui Irwin
Assemblymember Monique Limon
Assemblymember Dante Acosta
To: Board of Directors  
From: Susan Mulligan, General Manager  
Date: April 28, 2017  
Subject: Monthly Status Report on District Activities  

---

**Engineering**

**Construction**

1. **Miscellaneous Wellfield Improvements (476)** – Blois Construction Inc. (Blois) continues to coordinate with the fabricator to schedule fabrication of the new instrument sunshade enclosures for outdoor flowmeters at Wellfield No. 1. The field work is scheduled to be performed in May during a scheduled wellfield shutdown. *(CIP Priority: Not reviewed)*

2. **Grandsen Pump Station, Phase 2, Standby Generators, Hydroelectric Generator, and Regulating Station No. 2 Relocation (500)** – Shimmick Construction Company (Shimmick) continues to work on piping, electrical conduits, drain lines, and backfill at the pump station site. Shimmick formed and poured the concrete foundations for the hydrogenerators and diesel standby generators, and they poured the concrete starter wall on which the cement masonry blocks for the building will be placed. Shimmick completed work on the installation of additional air/vacuum relief valves at the intersection of Smith Road and Kuehner Drive necessary to protect the Calleguas Conduit North Branch pipeline from surge. *(CIP Priority [Pump Station and Pressure Regulating Station No. 2 Replacement]: High; CIP Priority [Hydro]: Low)*

3. **Las Posas Basin Deep Groundwater Monitoring Project, Deep Well No. 1 (527-1)** – The Statement of Acceptance was issued to Cascade Drilling, LP and a Notice of Completion was filed. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

4. **Plastic Pipe Storage Project (534)** – JEC, Inc., worked on grading and removal of the top 12 inches of soil, which is required prior to preparation of the subgrade. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*
5. **Networking Center Upgrades (551)** – O&M staff started the installation of the local area network (LAN) switches within the Lake Bard Water Filtration Plant. As Phase 2a nears completion, staff is working with AllConnected to prepare quotes for Phase 2b. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

6. **CCNB Broken Back Rehabilitation, Phase 3 (554)** – Blois completed rehabilitation of the eighth and final location. They are working on punch list items. *(CIP Priority: High)*

7. **Camrosa SMP Discharge Station Emergency Valve Replacement (563)** – Perliter & Ingalsbe (P&I) completed design of the vault. Blois mobilized to replace the 24” butterfly valve during a shutdown of the Salinity Management Pipeline due to Camrosa’s well supplying the Round Mountain Water Treatment Plant being offline. *(CIP Priority: Not reviewed)*

8. **CCSB Emergency Joint Repairs (564)** – Blois delivered the replacement Weko-Seals to restock the District’s emergency supplies. The Notice of Completion was filed.

### Design

9. **OSR Feeder, LPF, Santa Rosa Hydro, and Miscellaneous Valve Improvements (442)** – P&I submitted a proposal to perform preliminary design for an isolation valve between OSR-1 and OSR-4. The need for this isolation valve was identified during the OSR Feeder failure in December 2016, when it was discovered that OSR-1 could not be fully isolated from OSR-4 without over-pressurizing OSR-4. Staff is evaluating a potential alternative approach to flow metering for Reg 7 utilizing a flow meter that connects to a ClaVal (globe valve) port; if successful, this approach will provide a very significant cost savings over constructing a flow metering vault. P&I continues to revise the preliminary design report to incorporate staff’s comments. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

10. **LVMWD-CMWD Interconnection (450)** – Las Virgenes Municipal Water District (LVMWD) decided to increase the size of its interconnection pipeline from 24 to 30 inches in order to provide additional flow capacity. Kennedy/Jenks Consultants (K/J) finalized their hydraulic modeling memo, which evaluates anticipated flows through the interconnection including associated pressures in both the LVMWD and Calleguas systems. Phoenix Civil Engineering, Inc. (Phoenix) continues with preliminary design. *(CIP Priority: High)*

11. **PLC Replacement Project (489)** – O&M staff continues to review the draft Control Philosophy (which explains in words how a facility’s controls operate), Binary Logic Diagrams, and Process Flow Diagrams submitted by Northern Digital, Inc. (NDI). *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

12. **Wellfield Emergency Generators (494)** – Staff returned comments on the 100 percent plans to K/J and continues to review the 100 percent specifications. The County of
Ventura issued an Application Clearance for Encroachment Permit for planned work within the County right-of-way. K/J submitted a revised Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, which is under review by staff. Staff continues to coordinate with Southern California Edison (SCE) staff regarding design, construction, and schedule. In compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act, the Board of Directors adopted a final negative declaration for the project at its April 19 meeting. *(CIP Priority: High)*

13. Wellfield Water Treatment Plant (506) – K/J continues to work on the chemical system technical memorandum. Staff returned comments on a draft technical memorandum on the reservoir baffles and provided information on the chemical systems in place at the wellfield. *(CIP Priority: Low)*

14. SMP Control Tank Warranty Work (513) – The SMP Control Tank was completed in July 2015 so the two-year warranty period expires this July. O&M staff took the tank out of service and drained it. Engineering and O&M staff inspected the interior and exterior of the tank with the contractor, Lash Construction (Lash). The coating was generally in good condition, but a few spots of corrosion were identified on both the interior and exterior. Staff is coordinating with Lash to schedule the repair work.

15. Camarillo SMP Discharge Station (525) – Staff reviewed and returned comments to the 90 percent plans and specifications and Northwest Hydraulic Consultants’ (NHC) draft surge analysis report. Phoenix is preparing final design documents. Staff continues to work with Hamner Jewell & Associates (HJA) and Southern California Edison on right-of-way issues. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

16. Las Posas Basin Deep Groundwater Monitoring Project, Additional Deep Wells (527) – The Board approved an agreement for monitoring well installation for the site of the second monitoring well. Staff continues to negotiate with two other property owners who may be willing to grant easements for monitoring wells. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

17. Salinity Management Pipeline, Phase 3 (536) – P&I is preparing a scope amendment to develop the design plans requested by Camarillo (which require a level of detail beyond typical preliminary design) to evaluate the request to install the pipeline within the Calleguas Creek bridge. *(CIP Priority: Low)*

18. Anode Well Replacement (539) – HDR delivered a second iteration of the 100 percent plans and specifications, which are under review by staff. HJA continues to work with underlying landowners to obtain temporary rights of entry to provide sufficient work area at each of the three anode well sites. Ventura County issued the Application Clearance for Encroachment Permit. *(CIP Priority: High)*
19. **Crestview Interconnection (552)** – Staff returned comments on the 50 percent plans to Michael K. Nunley & Associates, Inc. (MKN). Staff continues to coordinate with NHC on the surge analysis. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

20. **Turnout PC Upgrade Pilot (558)** – A kick off meeting was held with Engineering and O&M staff, AllConnected, NDI, and Nick Peros, P.E. The meeting covered objectives, technical approach, coordination, and schedule.

21. **Moorpark Feeder Unit 2 Strengthening (559)** – Padre submitted an encroachment permit application to Caltrans to perform borings and collect soil samples to prepare the geotechnical and aerially deposited lead reports that Caltrans requires. Padre awaits Caltrans’ response to the submitted application. *(CIP Priority: High)*

22. **Salinity Management Pipeline, Phase 4 (561)** – P&I continues to work on preliminary design. *(CIP Priority: Low)*

23. **Calleguas-Ventura Interconnection (562)** – K/J completed a hydraulic analysis that determined:
   - The range of potential annual State Water Project deliveries from Calleguas to the City of Ventura, United Water Conservation District (United), and Casitas Municipal Water District (Casitas).
   - Flow range in each direction (Calleguas to Ventura/United/Casitas and Ventura to Calleguas).
   - Planning level construction costs for the interconnection pipeline for each of the three locations under consideration for connection with Calleguas’ system (Price Rd., Somis, and Springville).

The General Manager and Manager of Engineering attended a meeting with the City of Ventura, United, and Casitas to discuss the findings of the hydraulic analysis. The size (36-inch) and connection location (Springville) for the interconnection pipeline were determined. *(CIP Priority: High)*

24. **Dam and Dike 1 Erosion Prevention Project (565)** – This is a new project on this report. A Notice to Proceed was issued to MNS Engineers and they began work on preliminary design. *(CIP Priority: Medium)*

**Miscellaneous**

25. **Water Supply Alternatives Study** – A kick-off meeting was held with K/J and Bondy Groundwater Consulting, Inc. to discuss objectives, schedule, and preliminary screening criteria for the alternatives.

26. **Oxnard AWPF Connection to the SMP** – Staff reviewed the draft surge analysis report for the “third” Pleasant Valley County Water District (PVCWD) connection to the SMP. No comments were received from Oxnard or PVCWD staff. NHC is finalizing the report.
27. **Training** – One of the Project Managers presented to Engineering staff on Cathodic Protection Basics. Two of the Project Managers attended the Association of Water Agencies of Ventura County’s Annual Symposium.

28. **ACWA Energy Committee** – The Manager of Engineering participated in a monthly conference call to discuss regulatory updates and select energy program topics for the upcoming spring conference. She also participated in a planning conference call as a panelist for a spring conference session on “Small Hydro: Challenges and Opportunities.”

29. **Water Infrastructure and System Efficiency (WISE) Study** – SCE offers WISE as a free service to its customers through the energy efficiency consulting firm Lincus. Lincus completed their evaluation of potential improvements associated with upgrading older less efficient pumps and no upgrades were determined to be cost-effective at this time. The final report states “Calleguas Municipal Water District has done a commendable job in managing a water system that is energy efficient. Based on the site audit, Lincus noted some of the District’s best practices in energy efficiency including LED lighting, off-peak pumping, self-generation in the form of hydroelectric generators as well as variable frequency drives (VFDs) throughout the system.” Additionally, Lincus remarked, “It is rare for us to see so little energy efficiency opportunity on the water side, so great job managing your energy use!”

30. **Transfer of Oak Park North Ranch and Sherwood Recycled Water Systems** – All necessary agreements, right-of-way documents, and the bill of sale have been approved and signed by all required signatories. Staff worked on various administrative, financial, and operational details with Triunfo in anticipation of a May 1 transfer date.

**Operations and Maintenance**

31. The Calleguas Conduit North Branch and Metropolitan Jensen Filtration Plant and West Valley 2 Feeder shutdowns concluded in early April. The Calleguas Conduit was placed back into service on April 5 and the Lake Bard Water Filtration Plant ceased operation on April 6. Demands continued to be low throughout the shutdowns and the Wellfield remained in standby mode.

32. With Camrosa Water District’s Round Mountain Well out of service, District staff are taking advantage of this time to replace a 24-inch valve at Camrosa’s discharge station and a leaking 12-inch valve at PHWA’s discharge station, install a new 12-inch valve for isolation of Camrosa’s discharge station, prepare the SMP Control Tank for minor coating touch-up, and make some programming changes to the performance of the SMP Pressure Sustaining Station.
33. The Manager of Operations and Maintenance is working with Metropolitan’s Manager of Manufacturing Services Unit to enter into an Agreement for Emergency Services, Pipe Fabrication, and Related Technical Services. This agreement will allow Calleguas to contract with Metropolitan for technical services ranging from machining and fabrication to diving. On the immediate horizon is the repair of a broken 16-inch ball valve removed from the TOD Pump Station.

34. On April 12, a Division of Safety of Dams engineer performed the annual inspection of the Wood Ranch Dam and associated dikes. The inspection went well and no deficiencies were noted.

35. Operations and Maintenance staff provided design review, technical, and field support to the Engineering Department on various projects including:
   - Grandsen Pump Station, Phase 2, Standby Generators, Hydroelectric Generator, and Regulating Station No. 2 Relocation – System Maintenance: CCNB return to service,
   - Las Posas Basin Deep Groundwater Monitoring Project, Deep Well No. 1 – Control Systems: technical support,
   - Networking Center Upgrades – Control Systems: technical support,
   - Turnout PC Upgrade Pilot Project – Control Systems: technical support,
   - PLC Replacement Project – Control Systems: technical support,
   - Camrosa SMP Discharge Station Emergency Valve Replacement – System Maintenance: SMP dewatering,
   - SMP Control Tank Warranty Work – System Maintenance: tank shutdown and dewatering,
   - Wellfield Emergency Generators – design review,
   - OSR Feeder, LPF, Santa Rosa Hydro, and Miscellaneous Valve Improvements – Control Systems, Distribution System: technical support,
   - Crestview Interconnection – design review, and
   - Camarillo SMP Discharge Station – design review.

36. Control Systems staff spent time attending Wonderware training, rewiring the Filter Building floor drain sumps, creating a battery test station, and troubleshooting East Portal Hydroelectric Generator logic issues.

37. Control Systems staff performed preventative maintenance and routine inspection on:
   - Lake Bard site,
   - Conejo Pump Station,
   - Lindero Pump Station,
   - Pressure Regulating Stations No. 1, Pit 2, 4 and 5,
   - CCNB Relief Station,
   - Conejo Standby Power Generators,
   - Lake Bard Water Filtration Plant,
• Camrosa service connections,
• Crestview service connection,
• Pleasant Valley service connections,
• City of Simi Valley service connections,
• Solano Verde service connection, and
• VCWWD service connections.

38. Distribution System staff spent time reinstalling Lake Sherwood Pump 2, repairing Conejo V-1A valve, installing a new isolation valve at the Camrosa discharge station.

39. Distribution System staff performed preventative maintenance and routine inspection on:

• Las Posas Wellfield Chlorine System,
• PHWA Discharge Station,
• East Portal Hydroelectric Generator,
• Santa Rosa Hydroelectric Generator,
• Springville Hydroelectric Generator,
• Conejo Pump Station,
• Fairview Pump Station,
• Grandsen Pump Station,
• Lake Sherwood Pump Station,
• Lindero Pump Station,
• TOD Pump Station,
• Pressure Regulating Stations No. 3, 5, 6A, 7 and 8,
• CCNB Relief Station,
• Lake Bard Water Filtration Plant,
• Pleasant Valley service connections,
• City of Simi Valley service connections,
• VCWWD service connections, and
• Wells 1 through 18.

40. System Maintenance staff spent time supporting contractor repairs to the Calleguas Conduit North Branch, recharging the Calleguas Conduit North Branch, and dewatering the SMP for various improvements and repairs.

System Maintenance staff performed preventative maintenance and routine inspection on:

• District Headquarters,
• Camrosa Discharge Station,
• PHWA Discharge Station,
• East Portal Hydroelectric Generator,
• Santa Rosa Hydroelectric Generator,
• Springville Hydroelectric Generator,
• Lake Bard site,
• Bradley Lateral,
• Las Posas Feeder No. 2,
• SMP Phase 1C,
• SMP Phase 1E,
• Lake Sherwood Reservoir,
• Thousand Oaks Reservoir,
• Westlake Reservoir, and
• Wellfield Site.

41. Water quality met all State and Federal standards for the month of April. The Lake Bard Water Filtration Plant (LBWFP) produced approximately 810 acre feet of water through April 6 and reduced raw water turbidity by 93 percent to an average level of 0.04 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU). This reduction in NTU surpasses the Federal standard requiring values less than 0.30 in 95 percent of readings taken during the month.

42. Groundwater storage totals through the end of March include no well production and 203 acre feet of well injection.

    Groundwater storage totals through March are as follows:

    East Las Posas Wellfield Injection      8,417 acre feet
    East Las Posas In Lieu                 6,442 acre feet
    West Las Posas In Lieu                 25,314 acre feet
    Oxnard In Lieu                        16,524 acre feet
    Pleasant Valley In Lieu               1,736 acre feet
    Conejo Creek Project                  23,253 acre feet

43. The Conejo, East Portal, Santa Rosa, and Springville Hydroelectric Generators are currently available for operation and on-line as flow conditions permit.

44. The District measured 0.17 inches of rainfall from April 1 to April 25 at the Lake Bard site. Measurable rainfall for the current water year, beginning October 1, is 18.22 inches.

Resources

Development Programs

45. Annexation No. 88 – Hearthside Homes – This annexation has been dormant since 2011. After extended attempts, staff made contact with the successor company that manages
assets formerly held by Hearthside Homes. Hearthside gave up its option on the land in Ormond Beach in 2008 and filed for bankruptcy shortly after. Staff requested a letter explaining the business relationship, stating that the annexation is abandoned, and requesting refund of the remaining balance from Hearthside’s deposit.

46. Annexation No. 101 – Ocean View School District – An agreement was reached between the City of Oxnard and Ocean View School District to share annexation expenses. On April 6, Calleguas received a deposit for administrative expenses and work began on assembling initial documents. Annexation to the City of Oxnard will be concurrent. A resolution initiating the annexation and requesting approvals by Metropolitan and the Ventura Local Agency Formation Commission will likely be included on a Board meeting agenda in June.

47. Annual Local Water Production Reconciliation – The Manager of Resources and Development Programs Administrator met with Metropolitan staff to discuss details regarding 2016 local water production and clarify purveyor interrelationships. The model used by Metropolitan to track local supplies in the Calleguas service area was updated. Going forward, Metropolitan will be particularly interested in documenting recycled water use for all purposes including groundwater recharge in order to present a more comprehensive picture of southern California’s use of this resource.

Public & Legislative Affairs / Conservation

48. The Manager of Resources met with the following organizations and/or individuals to discuss Calleguas’ role in Ventura County, imported water supply conditions, and other pertinent topics:

- April 20 – Conejo Tea Party
- April 21 – Assemblyman Dante Acosta (R-38) with Metropolitan Director Kurtz and staff.

49. On April 13 the General Manager spoke on a panel at the Ventura County Museum on the topic of Managing Water Conflicts and Encouraging Collaboration.

50. State Legislation

- SB 231 (Hertzberg) – Local government: fees and charges

As noted in last month’s report, this measure adds a clear definition of “sewer” services and systems to the state’s Prop 218 statute that includes both surface and storm water. Stormwater programs would require the same approval standard for other property-related fees (i.e., Prop 218 requirements) for services such as water and wastewater. The bill passed out of the Senate Governance and Finance Committee on April 5 and awaits consideration by the full Senate.
• **AB 313 (Gray) – Water**

This bill was stripped of its original language calling for the transfer of authority over water rights matters and enforcement of reasonable use provisions of water law from the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) to the Department of Water Resources. In its present form, the measure establishes the Water Rights Division within the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) and shifts certain water rights hearing responsibilities to the office. Any decision reached by an OAH administrative law judge would not be final until accepted by the State Board’s Executive Director. The bill would authorize an appeal of the Executive Director’s decision to a superior court. The bill passed out of the Assembly Water, Parks & Wildlife Committee on April 25 and was referred to the Assembly Appropriations Committee.

• **SB 623 (Monning) – Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund**

Co-authored by Senate President Pro Tem De Leon, this measure establishes the Safe and Affordable Drinking Water Fund in the State Treasury and may ultimately lead to the imposition of a public goods charge that would ensure funds are continuously appropriated to the State Board for related programs. The bill passed out of the Senate Environmental Quality Committee on April 19 and was referred to the Senate Appropriations Committee.

• **Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life legislation**

Eight bills intended to implement recommendations within Governor Brown’s long-term water use efficiency and drought contingency planning edict were heard in the Assembly Water, Parks & Wildlife Committee on April 25. Each passed out of the committee and was referred to the Assembly Appropriations Committee. Additionally, a budget trailer bill was introduced that incorporates language similar - if not more forceful - to that included in the various measures now under consideration in the legislature. Of chief concern is provisions that would provide the State Board with unprecedented authority to establish water use standards.

51. **Conservation Programs** – During the month, residential rebates were issued for 52 high efficiency clothes washers, 32 weather-based irrigation controllers, and two high efficiency toilets. In addition, 4,105 residential turf removal rebates have either been paid or released for payment, with 244 applications in process. Under the commercial rebate program, rebates were issued for three weather-based irrigation controllers. 152 commercial turf removal rebate applications have either been paid or released for payment, to date, with seven applications in process.

The Manager of Resources and Sr. Resources Specialist met with representatives of the
University of California Master Gardeners program to coordinate the development of hands-on drip irrigation training courses for residents in the Calleguas service area. Saturday classes are scheduled to begin this summer and will be held at the District headquarters property along the entry road that leads to the lower gate.

**Strategic Planning**

52. **Metropolitan Water District** — In April, the Metropolitan Board took action on the treated water capacity charge and continued discussion on Integrated Resource Plan policies for water conservation and local resource development.

- The Metropolitan Board of Directors considered a proposal to implement a water treatment capacity charge that would recover a portion of the fixed cost of treated water from all member agencies that benefit from the availability of treated water service. There was insufficient support to implement a treatment capacity charge, but the Metropolitan Board did adopt a set of treatment charge policy principles. These principles recognized that cost of service and benefits should be aligned, that benefits extend to the operational benefit of available treatment capacity, and that Metropolitan would continue to seek opportunities to right-size treatment capacity to reduce costs.

- The Integrated Resources Plan committee discussed two broad areas for policy development. The areas were: 1) what role should Metropolitan play in local resource and conservation development, and 2) how should Metropolitan plan and participate in existing and expanding mandates? In the past, Metropolitan offered financial incentives to encourage member agencies to develop local resources. Should Metropolitan’s role include direct development or partnership in developing local resources such as the proposed treatment and regional distribution of recycled water? If the state mandates that member agencies should be responsible for meeting water efficiency standards, what role does Metropolitan play in water conservation? The Metropolitan Board has scheduled a workshop on May 23 to develop policy principles that would guide Metropolitan on these issues.

53. **California State University Channel Islands** — On April 19, the Manager of Strategic Planning gave a guest lecture for a water resource management class. Many of the students were sponsored guests for the Association of Water Agencies Ventura County water symposium that took place the following day. He lectured on problem-solving in water resources through a nested systems and management scale approach. For those students attending the AWA symposium, the lecture provided an introduction to one of the organizing themes of the symposium.

54. **Association of Water Agencies (AWA) Water Symposium** — On April 20, the Manager of Strategic Planning served as a panel moderator for a portion of the annual AWA water symposium. The symposium developed the theme of “wicked water problems” through
a series of speakers involved in complex resource issues. "Wicked" issues are characterized by incomplete or contradictory information, no definitive problem formulation, indeterminate scope and scale, and interconnection with other problems.

**Groundwater Resources**

55. **Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR)**

- **Groundwater Modeling (Project No. 528)** – Work continued on developing the numerical model, with a focus on the preparation of model input files. The Contract Groundwater Manager met with United Water Conservation District (UWCD) staff on April 27 as part of an ongoing effort to coordinate Calleguas’ and UWCD’s modeling efforts.

56. **Las Posas Valley Basin Users Group (LPUG)**

- The LPUG allocation sub-committee met on April 25 with the Contract Groundwater Manager serving as the group’s facilitator. The sub-committee worked on pumping allocation system issues.

- The Contract Groundwater Manager, LPUG Chairperson, and Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency staff held a public outreach “town hall” meeting on April 11 at Camarillo City Hall concerning the Las Posas Valley Basin Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) development and, specifically, LPUG’s proposed groundwater pumping allocation system.

57. **Las Posas Valley Basin Replacement Water Study** – K/J submitted revised study project descriptions for Calleguas’ review.

58. **Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency** – The FCGMA Board of Directors met on April 26. The FCGMA Board received updates concerning the status of the GSPs, the Las Posas Valley and other basins’ pumping allocation plans, the water market/automatic metering pilot program, 2016 groundwater conditions, and the agency’s biennial audit. The FCGMA Board scheduled a special meeting on June 23 to hold a workshop on the Las Posas Valley Basin allocation plan. The FCGMA Board received a presentation from State Water Resources Control Board staff concerning unmanaged basin areas (i.e. portions of the “FCGMA basins” that lie outside of the FCGMA jurisdictional boundary and are not yet covered by a Groundwater Sustainability Agency [GSA]). Ultimately, the unmanaged areas must be managed by a GSA under an adopted GSP or, alternatively, the basin boundaries could possibly be modified to eliminate the unmanaged areas in cases where significant groundwater resources are lacking.

59. **FCGMA Technical Advisory Group (TAG)** – TAG meetings were held on April 6 and April 27, with the Contract Groundwater Manager in attendance representing FCGMA
Director Borchard. The majority of the April 6 meeting was focused on discussion of the draft water budget report received from the FCGMA’s GSP consultant. An ad-hoc committee, including the Contract Groundwater Manager, was created to review specific concerns with the water balance report. The ad-hoc committee met subsequently on April 19. The April 27 meeting was a workshop focused on the UWCD groundwater model of the Oxnard Plain Subbasin and Pleasant Valley Basin. The Contract Groundwater Manager reviewed miscellaneous materials issued by the GSP consultant and responded to requests for information from FCGMA staff, the GSP consultant, and the public.

**Finance & Human Resources**

60. Staff continued working with department managers to prepare the annual budget. The budget will be presented to the Finance Committee in May and the Board for adoption in June.

61. Staff completed the proposed 2018 water rates and charges. The proposed rates were presented to the Board on April 19 and to the purveyors on April 24. A public hearing has been set for June 21 for adoption of the fees.

62. The General Manager held an All-Employee Meeting on April 17 to review the Employee Handbook and highlight recent changes. Service awards were given for employees reaching milestones, with one employee receiving recognition for 30 years of service.
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Financial Statements
### Calleguas Municipal Water District
#### Statement of Net Assets
as of March 31, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>03/31/17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash &amp; Investments</td>
<td>$ 170,672,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>13,625,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>45,035,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restricted Current Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Cash &amp; Investments</td>
<td>531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current Assets</strong></td>
<td>$229,335,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Assets (Net of Accumulated Depreciation)</td>
<td>467,943,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital Assets</strong></td>
<td>$467,943,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Non-current Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other LT Assets</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other non-current assets</strong></td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>$697,308,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Outflows</td>
<td>6,430,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets &amp; Deferred Outflows</strong></td>
<td>$703,739,163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calleguas Municipal Water District  
Statement of Net Assets  
as of March 31, 2017  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS</th>
<th>03/31/17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$11,023,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Payable</td>
<td>2,156,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention Payable</td>
<td>798,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits</td>
<td>198,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of bonds payable</td>
<td>7,350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Current Liabilities</td>
<td>21,526,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds payable, net of current portion</td>
<td>217,494,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensated Absences</td>
<td>613,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEB Liability</td>
<td>277,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Liability</td>
<td>6,072,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total long-term liabilities</td>
<td>224,458,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>245,985,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Inflows</td>
<td>1,084,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities &amp; Deferred Inflows</td>
<td>$ 247,069,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Assets:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invested in capital assets, net of related debt</td>
<td>227,051,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>11,776,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>217,841,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Net Assets</td>
<td>456,669,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities and net assets</td>
<td>$ 703,739,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nine Months of FY 2016-17 Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Sales</td>
<td>$79,411,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Water Revenues</td>
<td>65,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Reservation Charge</td>
<td>3,528,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness to serve-purveyors</td>
<td>5,481,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled Water</td>
<td>1,315,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Power Revenue</td>
<td>547,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Generation</td>
<td>282,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP Fees</td>
<td>159,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Revenues</strong></td>
<td>90,792,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Water</td>
<td>59,817,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity Reservation Charge-MWD</td>
<td>1,793,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readiness to serve-MWD</td>
<td>5,288,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycled Water</td>
<td>614,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumping Power</td>
<td>1,012,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost of Water</strong></td>
<td>68,525,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and benefits</td>
<td>6,914,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel and vehicle exp</td>
<td>127,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities</td>
<td>388,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations &amp; Maintenance Supplies</td>
<td>428,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>54,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside services</td>
<td>1,279,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultants/Studies</td>
<td>1,144,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits, Leases and fees</td>
<td>158,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Training</td>
<td>85,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memberships</td>
<td>156,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>202,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election Costs</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>131,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Operating Administration Expenses</strong></td>
<td>11,481,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$10,785,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Nine Months of FY 2016-17 Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$ 10,785,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>1,233,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water standby charges</td>
<td>677,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Revenue</td>
<td>3,535,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Collection, Bank &amp; Bond Fees</td>
<td>(391,802)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital construction charges</td>
<td>1,875,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>43,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest and redemption expense</td>
<td>(6,597,114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Premium/Discount Amortization</td>
<td>634,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build America Bond Subsidy</td>
<td>723,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total non-operating revenue/Expenses</td>
<td>1,733,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income before Capital, Contributions, &amp;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>12,519,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>(10,372,500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Equipment &gt; $5,000</td>
<td>(224,955)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Expense</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain/(Loss) on Sale of Capital Assets</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant/Capital Contribution Revenue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Related Expenses</td>
<td>(10,597,455)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Net Assets</td>
<td>$ 1,921,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Assets, beginning of year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Assets, end of year</td>
<td>$ 456,669,573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Dan Smith  
Manager of Finance  
Calleguas Municipal Water District  
2100 Olsen Road  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

April 10, 2017

Dear Dan,

We are pleased to enclose the first quarter 2017 Review for the Calleguas Municipal Water District Short Duration portfolios managed by Wells Capital Management. We have also emailed you an electronic copy of the Q1 2017 book to facilitate your review.

As we begin 2017, we offer our observations and thoughts about the economy and fixed income markets:

The truth probably lies somewhere between the seeming disconnect between “real-time” first-quarter growth estimates of about 1% and solid underlying data now clouding the outlook for inflation, interest rates and corporate profits. Underlying themes supporting a return to respectable, 2½% growth remain intact, including consumer and housing-led growth contributing to strength in manufacturing, despite weak international competitiveness, and strength extending to late-cycle business investment.

The transition to re-inflation and economic normalization from sluggishness and disinflation of the post-meltdown economy has been interrupted by an oil-price relapse soon affecting retail fuel costs and by renewed declines in trade-sensitive CPI “goods” prices. Both will be counterweights to ongoing pressure from domestic-driven, labor-intensive services inflation in holding the overall rate to a projected 2½% (or so) in coming months.

Interest rates are expected to return to a more typical pattern of broad increases of about a half percentage point in 10-year yields and a bit more for policy-sensitive intermediate Treasury issues initially pacing the rise, with leadership rotating to short-term money market rates as the Fed’s policy “normalization” deepens. Longer-term rates could be slower to stabilize in this rate “up cycle” because of more extended adjustment to yields kept artificially low by unusually aggressive stimulus and, ultimately, by bond sales from the Fed’s investment portfolio inflated by “quantitative easing.”

Fiscal policy threatens to become more disruptive than supportive to the financial market, the result of a looming April deadline for “continuing-resolution” financing of government spending and a likely November deadline for an increased debt ceiling suspended until last week—all atop a threatened delay in tax cuts and increased infrastructure spending central to the post-election rally in stocks and in other risk assets.

We summarize our economic ‘big six’ as follows:

- **Growth:** Still stuck at 2%, awaiting a policy shift
- **Employment:** Job growth persists; slack diminishing
- **Inflation:** Core inflation edging higher
- **Monetary:** Quicker pace of tightening: 3-4 rate hikes in 2017
- **Fiscal:** Tax cuts, more spending, wider deficits

Wells Capital Management
CMWD Portfolio: In the first quarter of 2017, the return for the Blended Treasury Index (40% Merrill Lynch 90-day US Treasury Bill Index and 60% Merrill Lynch 1-3 Year Treasury Index) was 0.198% versus CMWD’s total return of 0.308%. For the trailing 12-month period, the benchmark returned 0.291% against the combined portfolio return of 0.703% (gross of fees). A more detailed summary of the performance of each portfolio versus the benchmark is part of the book accompanying this letter.

We continue to manage the Calleguas Municipal Water District’s short-duration portfolio conservatively to meet the District’s objective of preservation of principal. We are maintaining adequate liquidity to cover the District’s expected cash flows and the portfolios are invested in compliance with the District’s Investment Policy.

We appreciate the opportunity to work with the District in order to meet your investment objectives and welcome any questions that you or the Board or you may have regarding this material.

Warm Regards,

Keith Khorey
Client Relations Director, Balance Sheet Assets
Wells Capital Management

Wells Capital Management
Short Duration

Calleguas Municipal Water District
Quarter Ending March 31, 2017

Presented By:
Keith Khorey – Client Relations Director
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II. Performance summary
# Performance summary

As of 3/31/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>3 month</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>1 year</th>
<th>2 year</th>
<th>3 year</th>
<th>5 year</th>
<th>7 Year</th>
<th>10 Year</th>
<th>Since Inception</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calleguas Municipal Water District</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>0.74%</td>
<td>0.66%</td>
<td>0.74%</td>
<td>1.72%</td>
<td>1.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calleguas Blended Benchmark**</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>0.44%</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>1.47%</td>
<td>1.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Inception date is 6/30/2003

**40% Merrill Lynch 90 day USTB Index and 60% Merrill Lynch 1-3 year Treasury Index

Source: Clearwater
II. Portfolio review
# Portfolio summary – General Fund

Account Number 14752100  
As of 3/31/17

## Portfolio Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquidation Value</td>
<td>$148,425,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized Gain/(Loss)</td>
<td>($285,734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield to Maturity at Purchase</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield to Maturity at Market</td>
<td>1.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Days to Maturity</td>
<td>420 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Duration</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Credit Quality</td>
<td>Aa1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A-1/P-1 | 0%
| Aaa     | 62%
| Aa      | 12%
| A       | 25%
| Baa     | 0%
| Money Market Fund | 1% |

## Sector Distribution

- **ABS, 12%**
- **Muni, 6%**
- **CP, 2%**
- **Money Mkt Fund, 1%**
- **Agencies, 37%**
- **Treasures, 12%**
- **Corporates, 29%**

## Effective Maturity Distribution

- **<90 days**: 12%
- **90 days - 1 year**: 39%
- **1-1.5 years**: 18%
- **1.5-2 years**: 11%
- **2-3 years**: 20%
Portfolio summary – General Fund

Account Number 14752100
For the Period
12/31/16 – 3/31/17

Beginning Liquidation Value $ 150,002,765
Ending Liquidation Value $ 148,425,872

Contributions $ 0
Withdrawals $ 2,000,000

1st Quarter Return 0.31%

Returns are gross of fees
III. Market review
Short duration review

As of March 31, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Month</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>0.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Month</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>0.62%</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Year</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>0.46%</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
<td>0.81%</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Year</td>
<td>0.74%</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>1.20%</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Year</td>
<td>1.22%</td>
<td>1.01%</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td>1.92%</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Year</td>
<td>1.78%</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>2.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Year</td>
<td>2.62%</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>2.33%</td>
<td>3.05%</td>
<td>3.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barclays
# Short duration review

As of March 31, 2017

## Total Return by Maturity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maturity (Yrs)</th>
<th>2Q 2016</th>
<th>3Q 2016</th>
<th>4Q 2016</th>
<th>1Q 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.82%</td>
<td>0.50%</td>
<td>-0.11%</td>
<td>-0.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.93%</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
<td>-0.34%</td>
<td>-3.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.78%</td>
<td>3.02%</td>
<td>-0.75%</td>
<td>-6.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.94%</td>
<td>7.33%</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>-13.74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Total Return by Sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>1Q 2016</th>
<th>2Q 2016</th>
<th>3Q 2016</th>
<th>4Q 2016</th>
<th>1Q 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency (1-3 yrs)</td>
<td>0.86%</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>-0.35%</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate (1-3 yrs)</td>
<td>1.22%</td>
<td>1.04%</td>
<td>0.34%</td>
<td>-0.21%</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset Backed (0-3 yrs)</td>
<td>0.71%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>0.42%</td>
<td>-0.02%</td>
<td>0.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury (1-3 yrs)</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
<td>0.52%</td>
<td>-0.10%</td>
<td>-0.43%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Barclays, BofAML

WELLS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT
IV. Investment policy
CALLEQUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
INVESTMENT POLICY

1. Basic Policy Statement

a. The District’s investment policy is intended to comply with Section 53600 et seq. of the Government Code and all applicable law. The District’s investments shall be limited to investments approved by the Board of Directors as set forth herein.

b. In order of importance, three fundamental criteria shall be followed in the investment program:

(1) Safety: Investments shall be made in a manner which first seeks to ensure the preservation of principal and accrued interest. To obtain this, diversification in types of investments will be required.

(2) Liquidity: Investments shall be made whose maturity date is compatible with cash flow requirements and which will permit easy and rapid conversion into cash minimizing the potential for loss of value.

(3) Return on Investment: Investments shall be made in a manner so as to produce an acceptable rate of return after; first, considering safety of principal and accrued interest, and second, liquidity.

2. Investment Standard

When investing, reinvesting, purchasing, acquiring, exchanging, selling, or managing public funds all those responsible shall act with care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing, including, but not limited to, the general economic conditions and the anticipated needs of the District, that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with those matters would use in the conduct of funds of a like character and with like aims, to safeguard the principal and maintain the liquidity needs of the District. Within the limitations of this section and considering individual investments as part of an overall strategy, investments may be acquired as authorized herein.

3. Investment Management Service

The District has authorized the use of Investment Management Services for the District’s Construction Fund and a portion of the District’s General Funds. The District has retained Wells Capital Management as its Investment Manager. No investment management services may be utilized unless the Investment Manager agrees to follow the District’s investment policy outlined herein and as directed by the Board. Wells Capital Management has agreed to follow the District’s investment policy outlined in this document and as directed by the Board. Wells Capital Management is authorized by the Board to investments of the types described in item 4 below.
4. Authorized Investments

a. County of Ventura Investment Pool: Investment in the County of Ventura Investment Pool is not to exceed a maximum of fifteen million dollars. Should the District’s holdings in the County of Ventura Investment Pool reach or exceed this set limit, the District will take immediate action to reduce the balance upon receipt of the monthly statement.

b. Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF): The Local Agency Investment Fund has been established by the State Treasurer for the benefit of local agencies. The District may invest up to the maximum permitted by the LAIF.

c. Collateralized Certificates of Deposit: Certificates of deposit or “time deposits” of up to $250,000 are federally insured. Beyond that amount, these CDs must be collateralized with the collateral held separately from the issuing institution. Statute does not limit CDs, however, this Investment Policy shall limit such investments to a maximum of 30% of the portfolio and to a maximum of 15% deposited in any one institution. In addition, time deposits shall be placed in institutions meeting all capital requirements and rated "AA" or higher by two of the three major rating agencies (Moody’s, Standard & Poor’s, and Fitch) for any deposits over $250,000.

(1) Collateral is required to be deposited and is limited to the following:

   (i) Mortgages/trust deeds valued at no less than one hundred fifty (150%) of the deposit amount; (or)

   (ii) Eligible securities other than mortgages/trust deeds valued at no less that one hundred ten percent (110%) of the deposit amount.

(2) Pursuant to Government Code Section 53653, the first $250,000 of collateral security may be waived if the institution is insured pursuant to Federal Law.

d. Treasury Obligations: Direct obligations of the Department of the Treasury of the United States of America. There shall be no limitation as to the percentage of the portfolio invested in this category. The maturity on these investments shall not exceed five years without the prior approval of the Investment Committee.

e. Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) Securities: The following Federal Agency Securities and Instruments of Government Sponsored Enterprises: maturities not exceeding five (5) years may be purchased from the following issuers:

   (1) Federal Farm Credit System
   (2) Federal Home Loan Bank System
   (3) Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac)
   (4) Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae)
   (5) Federal Agriculture Mortgage Association (Farmer Mac)
   (6) Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA)
There shall be no limitation as to the percentage of the portfolio invested in this category.

f. **Bankers Acceptances**: Bills of exchange or time drafts drawn on and accepted by commercial banks, which are eligible for purchase by the Federal Reserve System, are known as banker’s acceptances. Purchases of these instruments may not exceed 180 bankers days maturity. At the time of purchase, no more than 40% of the District’s surplus funds may be invested in bankers’ acceptances and no more than 5% of the District’s surplus funds may be invested in bankers’ acceptances from any one bank.

g. **Commercial Paper**: Commercial paper which is rated at the time of purchase in the single highest classification by a nationally recognized rating service (for example, "A1" by Standard & Poor’s or "P-1" by Moody’s or "F-1" by Fitch). Purchases of commercial paper may not exceed 270 days maturity nor represent more than ten (10%) percent of the outstanding paper of an issuing corporation. At the time of purchase, no more than 25% of the District’s surplus funds may be invested in commercial paper and no more than 5% of the District’s surplus funds may be invested in any one issuer.

h. **Asset-backed Securities**: As authorized in Government Code Section 53601(n), local agencies may invest in any equipment lease-backed certificate, consumer receivable pass-through certificate or consumer receivable-backed bond with a maximum remaining final maturity of five years.

Securities eligible for investment under this subdivision shall be rated “AAA” by a nationally recognized rating service. At the time of purchase, no more than 20% of the District’s surplus funds may be invested in asset-backed/mortgage backed securities paper.

i. **Mortgage-backed Securities**: As authorized in Government Code Section 53601(n), local agencies may invest in any mortgage pass-through security, collateralized mortgage obligation, mortgage-backed or other pay-through bond, with a maximum remaining final maturity of five years.

Securities eligible for investment under this subdivision shall be rated “AAA” by a nationally recognized rating service. At the time of purchase, no more than 20% of the District’s surplus funds may be invested in asset-backed/mortgage backed securities.

j. **Medium-term Notes**: As authorized in Government Code Section 53601(j), local agencies may invest in corporate notes issued by corporations organized and operating within the United States. Corporate notes eligible for investment shall have a rating of "A" or higher at time of purchase by a nationally recognized rating service. They shall have a maximum remaining maturity of no more than five (5) years after the date of purchase. Purchases of corporate notes may not exceed 30% of the District’s portfolio. The maximum principal amount in any one company will not exceed 5% of the District’s portfolio.
k. **Money Market Funds**: Investments in a Money Market Fund rated "AAAm" or "Aaa" or better by Standard & Poor's or Moody's. Purchases of money market funds may not exceed 20% of the District's portfolio.

l. **Investment Agreements**: Investment Agreements approved by the Board of Directors with notice to Standard & Poor's and Moody's.

m. **Municipal Securities of Local Agencies** – Debt securities issued by states, cities, counties and other governmental entities to fund day-to-day obligations and to finance capital projects. The purchase of municipal securities is a loan to the bond issuer in exchange for regular interest payments and the return of the original investment.

n. **Ineligible Investments**: Investments not described herein, including but not limited to common stocks and financial futures contracts and options, are prohibited in this fund. Additionally, the District shall not invest in reverse floaters, range notes, or interest only strips that are derived from a pool of mortgages, nor may the District invest in any security that could result in zero interest accrued if held to maturity.

Permitted Investments Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Category</th>
<th>Maximum Maturity</th>
<th>Maximum Specified % of Portfolio</th>
<th>Minimum Quality Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County Investment Pool</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificates of Deposit</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>&quot;AA&quot; rating above $250,000 deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Treasury bonds/notes/bills</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Agency Securities</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankers Acceptance</td>
<td>180 Days</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Federal Reserve Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Paper</td>
<td>270 Days</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>A-1, P-1, F-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset-backed/Mortgage-backed Securities</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>&quot;AAA&quot; rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-term Notes – Corporate A or higher</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>&quot;A&quot; rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Market funds Aaa or better (limited to 10% per fund)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>&quot;AAA&quot; rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Securities (50 States or Local Agencies)</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Minimum A2/A/A credit rating by Moody's, S&amp;P or Fitch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Credit Rating Services

The ratings published by the rating services recognized by the Securities and Exchange Commission's Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSRO) shall be used as a measure of the investment risk associated with an investment.

6. Investment Committee

a. The District authorizes the creation of an Investment Committee. The Investment Committee shall be comprised of the District’s Treasurer, the General Manager, and the Manager of Finance. The Investment Committee shall make recommendations to the Board of Directors concerning investments and financial matters.

b. The District's day-to-day administrative functions relating to the District's investments, including the deposit of funds and coordination and communication with the Investment Manager shall be the responsibility of the Manager of Finance under the direction of the General Manager. Non-routine decisions as to changes in investments and all decisions as to modifications to the District’s investment policy shall be made by the Board of Directors with the advice and assistance of the Investment Committee.

c. An emergency meeting of the Board of Directors will be called, whenever necessary, to deal with important investment issues which cannot await a regularly scheduled board meeting. In the event a quorum of the Calleguas Board of Directors cannot be obtained for the emergency meeting prior to the time a decision must be made, the Treasurer shall have the authority to make such emergency decisions as are necessary within the parameters of these guidelines and which are in the best interest of the District. In the event the Treasurer is unavailable, the President of the Board of Directors shall make any emergency decisions. Delegations of authority to the Treasurer shall be reviewed annually.

7. Amount on Deposit in Any One Institution

The Board of Directors will periodically review the magnitude of deposits held in any one financial institution. The amount that shall be deposited in any one banking institution shall not exceed the amount allowed by law.

8. Term of Investment

The District and the Investment Manager are authorized to invest funds for terms authorized in accordance with the Government Code; provided that the maximum authorized term of an investment will not exceed three (3) years. Funds may be invested for longer terms, only if allowed by law and specifically approved by the Board of Directors.
9. Qualifications of Brokers, Dealers, and Financial Institutions

This paragraph outlines the requirements necessary for selection of security dealers when the District makes investments not utilizing the Investment Manager named in paragraph 3 above. The District shall transact business only with banking institutions and with securities dealers. These securities dealers must be recognized primary dealers reporting to the New York Federal Reserve Bank and have offices located in the State of California. These dealers, or if unrated their parent company, must also maintain an “A-1/P-1” short-term credit rating. Any securities dealer interested in conducting business with the District is required to fill out the questionnaire maintained by the Manager of Finance. The Investment Committee shall review the information contained on the questionnaire and shall make such other investigation as they deem necessary to determine whether (1) the firm is adequately capitalized and meets the Federal Reserve's minimum capital requirements for broker/dealer operations, (2) the firm provides markets in securities appropriate to the District's investment policy. If these criteria are satisfied, the District is authorized to deal with the securities institution.

10. Reports

The Manager of Finance shall submit a monthly status report of investments to the Board of Directors. Deposits will be reported to the Board of Directors on a monthly basis.

11. Review

The Investment Policy shall be reviewed annually and at such other times as the Board of Directors elects.

[Signature]
Scott Quady, President
Board of Directors

1/4/17
Dated

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Andres Santamaria, Secretary
Board of Directors

1/4/17
Dated
V. Client service team
Client Service Team

Calleguas General Fund

Keith Khorey
Client Relations Director – Balance Sheet Assets
333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Phone: 213-253-3184
Fax: 213-613-1059
Email: khoreyk@wellscap.com

Darian Ng
Client Relations Associate
333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Phone: 213-253-3130
Fax: 213-628-8437
Email: darian.ng@wellscap.com

Loren Durey
Client Service Consultant
Facilitates wire transfer & handles cash flows for General/Construction Fund Accounts
Wells Fargo Institutional Retirement & Trust
733 Marquette Avenue, 8th Floor
Minneapolis, MN 55479
Phone: (612) 667-6684
Fax: (612) 667-4187
Email: mplscsc@wellsfargo.com
WHEREAS, the Federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 provides direction to each city, local agency, and special district on planning and preparation to mitigate the long-term risk to human life and property from natural hazards; and

WHEREAS, Calleguas Municipal Water District participated as a part of the Local Emergency Planning Council to update and incorporate county-wide hazard mitigation planning, to include water infrastructure projects and improvements, with the aim to reduce losses from future disasters; and

WHEREAS, the Ventura County Board of Supervisors has adopted and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security Federal Emergency Management Agency has reviewed and approved the 2015 Ventura County Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, federally funded grant programs, and federal and state disaster reimbursement programs all require implementation of local emergency and mitigation planning and the 2015 Ventura County Hazard Mitigation Plan fulfills this requirement; and

WHEREAS, 44 CFR 201.6(c)(5) requires that each local agency adopt an emergency hazard mitigation plan by a resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the plan entitled “2015 Ventura County Multi-Hazard Mitigation” is formally adopted as an updated regional plan and a guidebook to a more disaster resistant community.

ADOPTED, SIGNED AND APPROVED this third day of May, 2017.

____________________________
Thomas L. Slosson, President
Board of Directors

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution was adopted at a regular meeting of the Board of Directors of Calleguas Municipal Water District held on May 3, 2017.

ATTEST:

___________________________
Andy Waters, Secretary
Board of Directors

(SEAL)
COLLABORATIVE APPROACH IS KEY TO CALIFORNIA’S FUTURE

California is facing a defining moment in water policy. A staff proposal under consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board presents a decision point about the future we want for California and its communities, farms, businesses and ecosystems. The State Water Board’s staff proposal to base new water quality objectives on a “percentage of unimpaired flow” would have impacts that ripple far beyond water for fish.

The proposal could lead to widespread falling of agricultural land, undercut the state’s groundwater sustainability goals, cripple implementation of the Brown Administration’s California Water Action Plan, negatively affect water reliability for much of the state’s population and impact access to surface water for some disadvantaged communities that do not have safe drinking water. These effects are not in the public’s interest.

Local water managers overwhelmingly believe the proposal’s singular focus on “unimpaired flow” is the wrong choice for the state’s future. California’s urban and agricultural water managers are united in their vision for a future that includes a healthy economy as well as healthy ecosystems and fish populations. That vision is best achieved through comprehensive, collaborative approaches that include “functional” flows as well as non-flow solutions that contribute real benefits to ecosystem recovery.

On behalf of its more than 430 member public agencies serving urban and agricultural customers throughout the state, the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) adopts the following policy statement regarding the State Water Board’s proposed approach to updating the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.
CHOOSING OUR VISION FOR CALIFORNIA’S WATER FUTURE

Since 2009, state law has required water resources to be managed in a way that achieves the coequal goals of improving water supply reliability for California and protecting, restoring and enhancing the Delta ecosystem. ACWA and its public water agency members believe that policy requires a commitment from state agencies and stakeholders to advance both water supply and environmental goals together. ACWA and its members further believe that effective implementation of the coequal goals requires transparent, collaborative processes and comprehensive solutions.

In 2014, the Brown Administration released its California Water Action Plan outlining priority actions addressing water-use efficiency, groundwater sustainability, ecological restoration, Delta conveyance solutions, water storage, safe drinking water and more. Embedded in the plan is the Brown Administration’s commitment that planned actions “will move California toward more sustainable water management by providing a more reliable water supply for our farms and communities, restoring important wildlife habitat and species, and helping the state’s water systems and environment become more resilient.”

ACWA believes the policy of coequal goals and the commitment embedded in the California Water Action Plan have the potential to put California on a path that includes a vibrant agricultural and urban economy and a healthy ecosystem.

ACWA and its members believe the unimpaired flow approach proposed by State Water Board staff undercuts and threatens that potential and cannot lead us to the future we want for California. Simply put, any strategy that would result in vast amounts of agricultural land going out of production and ultimately reduce water supply reliability for the majority of Californians is irreconcilable with a policy of coequal goals and blatantly inconsistent with the water policy objectives of the Brown Administration.

ACWA strongly supports the collaborative approach called for by Governor Jerry Brown to move these important decisions out of adversarial processes and into negotiated, comprehensive agreements. The following principles can assure success in that endeavor.

LOCAL SUCCESS STORIES

Collaborative efforts have been successful on many rivers in the Bay-Delta watershed.

Lower Yuba River: A voluntary, collaborative settlement among Yuba County Water Agency, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, National Marine Fisheries Service, PG&E and conservation groups resolved 20 years of controversy and resulted in a continuing program to improve 24 miles of salmon and steelhead habitat while protecting water rights and the needs of local communities. State Water Board members have specifically recognized the value of the agreement, which was formally implemented in 2008.

Lower American River: A broad representation of water suppliers, environmental groups, local governments and others negotiated an historic agreement that led to a flow management standard that was successfully incorporated into a 2009 biological opinion issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Feather River: Six years of negotiations among water users, fisheries agencies and environmental groups yielded a comprehensive agreement that includes a habitat improvement program with specific flow and temperature requirements to accommodate spawning salmon and steelhead. The State Water Board adopted the agreement, with some modification, in 2010 as a water quality certification under the federal Clean Water Act.
A BETTER PATH TO THE FUTURE

The State Water Board is responsible for updating the Bay-Delta Plan in a manner that establishes water quality objectives that ensure the reasonable protection of all beneficial uses of water (including domestic, municipal, agricultural and industrial supply; power generation; recreation; aesthetic enjoyment; navigation; and preservation and enhancement of fish, wildlife, and other aquatic resources) while considering past, present and probable future beneficial uses, environmental characteristics, water quality conditions and economic considerations, among other things. (See California Water Code Section 13241.) It also has a responsibility to update the plan in a way that is consistent with the coequal goals and respects and implements the commitments made in the California Water Action Plan.

ACWA and its members urge the State Water Board to set aside the unimpaired flow approach and heed Governor Brown’s call for negotiated agreements. ACWA believes that a successful flows policy must be consistent with the following principles:

• **Collaboration:** The governor has called for work on a comprehensive agreement on environmental flows in both the San Joaquin and Sacramento River basins. He has asked that State Water Board members and staff prioritize analysis and implementation of voluntary agreements. Further, the Brown Administration committed in the California Water Action Plan that the State Water Board and the California Natural Resources Agency will work with stakeholders to encourage negotiated implementation of protective Delta standards. ACWA strongly supports the collaborative approach called for by the governor because it is the least contentious, most effective way to achieve the coequal goals. Negotiated agreements have been demonstrably successful at achieving outcomes and widespread support for appropriate environmental flows; forced regulations have not yielded the same track record. The State Water Board should wholly embrace this approach and allow enough time for it to work.

• **Comprehensive Solutions:** A successful collaborative approach will require comprehensive solutions for both water supply and ecosystem management. Water users will need to continue and build on their commitment to integrated resources management in order to maintain reliability without undue impacts on the ecosystem. Similarly, ecosystem managers will need to focus on the entire life cycle of affected species and multiple variables, such as predation, food, and habitat availability to develop integrated management portfolios that accomplish ecosystem goals without undue impacts on water supply. Utilizing the single variable proposed in the “percentage of unimpaired flow” approach will not achieve the desired ecological outcomes and is, by far, the most destructive policy approach from the perspective of protecting and improving water supply. ACWA firmly believes the ecological outcomes can be achieved with even better results through a comprehensive approach that considers multiple solutions and benefits.

• **Science:** The State Water Board needs to incorporate the best available science to inform its work and assist with the development of voluntary settlement agreements. The unimpaired flow approach, in which flow objectives are not tied to any specific ecological outcome, fails to incorporate the best available science. As noted above, the updated plan needs to focus on the entire life cycle of affected species and multiple variables, such as predation, food, and habitat availability, and incorporate relevant current scientific information. Science alone cannot identify the best policy choice, but it can inform us about the policy tradeoffs we confront and help structure integrated solutions that provide ecosystem benefits with far less impact on water supply, the California economy and the public interest.
• **Functional Flows**: Science shows that functional flows have very promising benefits for fish as well as agricultural and urban water users. Timed and tailored for specific purposes, functional flows can benefit species in ways that unimpaired flow requirements cannot. Examples abound of collaborative, innovative projects currently underway by local water agencies and stakeholders that include functional flows and non-flow solutions that reconnect land and water to restore habitat and address the full life cycle of species needs. These efforts contribute real benefits to ecosystem recovery while maintaining water supply reliability.

• **Economic Considerations**: The State Water Board has a statutory obligation to consider economic impacts when establishing water quality objectives that reasonably protect all beneficial uses of water. Having a robust economic analysis is critical. The board also has a policy obligation under the coequal goals to ensure its actions related to a revised Bay-Delta Plan increase water supply reliability and thereby allow for a healthy, growing agricultural and urban economy in California.

• **Consistency with State Policy**: ACWA urges the State Water Board to heed the governor’s direction and recognize that achieving the coequal goals will lead to a more reliable water supply and healthy ecosystem. Pursuing the coequal goals should be a guiding principle for the board’s decisions related to adopting a revised Bay-Delta Plan. The State Water Board also should ensure that its decisions on the Bay-Delta Plan enable, rather than obstruct, the implementation of the California Water Action Plan.

• **Leadership**: The best policy choice will come through the give and take of the negotiating process and the enlightened leadership of the State Water Board members. Ultimately, the board must establish water quality objectives that ensure the reasonable protection of all beneficial uses of water as it implements negotiated solutions. The State Water Board should actively engage in this work and lead in a manner that is grounded in an awareness of how its actions can affect the implementation of the California Water Action Plan and the achievement of the coequal goals.

ACWA and its members have taken a strong policy position in support of comprehensive solutions such as those outlined in the California Water Action Plan. We stand ready to work with the Brown Administration to pursue the collaborative and comprehensive approaches needed to ensure a future for California that includes a vibrant agricultural and urban economy and a healthy ecosystem.
WHEREAS, California is facing a defining moment in water policy that will be substantially impacted by the State Water Resources Control Board’s approach to water quality objectives under the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Board has the responsibility for updating the Bay-Delta Plan in a manner that establishes water quality objectives that ensure the reasonable protection of all beneficial uses of water in a way that is consistent with the coequals goals of improving water supply reliability and protecting, restoring and enhancing the Delta ecosystem and with respect to the commitments made in the California Water Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Board staff’s current proposal, which focuses singularly on an “unimpaired flow” approach, is irreconcilable with a policy of coequal goals of improving both water supply reliability and ecosystem health; it is also inconsistent with the broader water policy objectives of the Brown Administration; and

WHEREAS, the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) Board of Directors has unanimously adopted a strong policy statement that calls for a better approach that can more effectively achieve ecological objectives while maintaining water supply reliability. The statement calls on the State Water Board to set aside its “unimpaired flow” approach and heed Governor Jerry Brown’s call for negotiated agreements, which have been successful on many rivers and tributaries in California; and

WHEREAS, the ACWA statement notes that to be successful, the state’s flow policy must be consistent with the principles of collaboration, comprehensive solutions, science, functional flows, economic considerations, state policy, and leadership; and

WHEREAS, California’s local urban and agricultural water managers are united in their vision for a future that includes a vibrant California economy as well as healthy ecosystems and fish populations, and believe that vision is best achieved through comprehensive, collaborative approaches;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of Calleguas Municipal Water District hereby supports ACWA’s Policy Statement on Bay-Delta Flows and encourages the State Water Resources Control Board to embrace the approach articulated in ACWA’s policy statement.

ADOPTED, SIGNED AND APPROVED this third day of May, 2017.

Thomas L. Slosson, President
Board of Directors

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution was adopted at a regular meeting of the Board of Directors of Calleguas Municipal Water District held on May 3, 2017.

ATTEST:

________________________
Andy Waters, Secretary
Board of Directors
(SEAL)
AGREEMENT FOR ADJUSTING FACILITIES REQUIRED BY
CITY OF CAMARILLO PROJECTS

This Agreement is made between the CITY OF CAMARILLO, a California general law
city and municipal corporation ("City"), and Calleguas Municipal Water District
("CALLEGUAS"), collectively referred to as the “Parties” and shall be effective as of the
date the Agreement is signed by the City ("Effective Date").

WITNESSETH

Whereas, CALLEGUAS owns and operates certain water and salinity
management infrastructure ("Infrastructure") within the City of Camarillo;

Whereas, said Infrastructure includes appurtenances generally referred to as
manholes, valve covers, vents, and other surface utility features are collectively referred
to herein, where applicable, as the "Facilities";

Whereas, said Facilities have been placed and are maintained by CALLEGUAS,
within street rights-of-way ("Streets") owned and maintained by the City;

Whereas, City, as owner of Streets, has prior rights with respect to conflicts
between any utility and any existing or proposed City-owned improvement ("City
Improvements") within such Streets;

Whereas, said City prior rights obligate CALLEGUAS to relocate or adjust, and/or
pay any and all costs related to the relocation or adjustment of, any facility within the
City Improvements;

Whereas, the City plans to construct Pavement Rehabilitation Projects
("Projects") requiring the resurfacing of City Improvements;

Whereas, Facilities may need adjustment to grade, relocation, or replacement as
a result of Projects, and such work is collectively referred to as Facilities Work;

THEREFORE, based on the terms and conditions herein, and for valuable
consideration received, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Term: The term of this Agreement shall extend from the above effective date
of this Agreement until terminated by either party consistent with the terms of this
Agreement.

2. Project Scope: Streets affected by Projects will be identified to CALLEGUAS
by the City at such time as the City prepares the design of the Project.

CALLEGUAS and City agree that the City, when mutually agreeable and
convenient, shall design, and/or perform Facilities Work of affected Facilities and that
CALLENGUAS shall reimburse the City for all costs related thereto except as provided for in this Agreement. Such work may be accomplished using City or contracted forces.

CALLENGUAS and City further agree that except for Facilities that are adjusted, relocated, or replaced by City pursuant to this Agreement, CALLENGUAS shall be responsible for relocating, replacing, removing, and/or modifying all affected Facilities consistent with applicable City standards, policies, rules, regulations and procedures pertaining to such work within Streets.

This Agreement shall not apply to projects which are funded in whole or in part by Federal Funds.

3. Cost: The cost of Facilities Work shall include all design ("Design Cost") and construction contract costs ("Construction Cost") incurred by the City related to Facilities Work, plus an additional fifteen percent (15%) of total Facilities Work design and construction costs to cover all inspection and administrative costs incurred by the City. Costs shall not include any costs incurred by CALLENGUAS.

4. CALLENGUAS Assistance: CALLENGUAS shall provide timely assistance to the City by supplying record drawings and standards and attending coordination meetings as needed.

5. CALLENGUAS Review: CALLENGUAS, at its option, may undertake efforts that CALLENGUAS deems necessary, including, but not limited to: a) reviewing and commenting on the City's plans and specifications; and b) supplementary inspection of the construction efforts. All CALLENGUAS efforts shall be performed in a timely manner and any CALLENGUAS costs related to any such CALLENGUAS efforts shall be borne by CALLENGUAS.

6. Cost Calculation:

   a. Prior to the City incurring any Design Costs, the City shall calculate the estimated amount of Design Costs and provide the estimated Design Costs to CALLENGUAS relating to the Facilities Work in writing. CALLENGUAS may, within five (5) working days after receiving the Design Costs estimate for the Facilities Work, notify the City in writing that CALLENGUAS approves the Design Costs. CALLENGUAS' failure to so notify the City shall be deemed denial of the Design Costs estimate by CALLENGUAS and CALLENGUAS will perform design and construction of improvements for the Facilities Work in a timely manner with its own forces and/or contractor.

   b. After approval of any Design Costs by CALLENGUAS, and upon completion of the plans and specifications, the City shall calculate the estimated amount of Construction Costs and provide the estimated Construction Costs to CALLENGUAS in writing for the Facilities Work. CALLENGUAS may, within five (5) working days after receiving the Construction Costs estimate, notify the City in writing that it will complete the Facilities Work in a timely manner with its own forces and/or a contractor. CALLENGUAS'
failure to so notify the City shall be deemed acceptance of the Construction Costs estimate by CALLEGUAS.

c. Upon receipt of bids, but prior to award of the contract Facilities Work, the City shall calculate the estimated amount of Construction Costs for the Facilities Work, based on the bids received, and provide same to CALLEGUAS.

d. In the event the estimated Construction Costs for the Facilities Work, based on bids received, is fifteen percent (15%) higher than the Construction Costs originally estimated, the City shall so notify CALLEGUAS in writing prior to award of the contract for Facilities Work. CALLEGUAS shall have the option of completing the Facilities Work with its own forces and/or a contractor if, within five (5) working days after receiving such notice, CALLEGUAS notifies the City in writing of its intent to do so. CALLEGUAS' failure to so notify the City shall be deemed acceptance of the estimated Construction Cost based upon the bids.

e. The City shall notify CALLEGUAS in writing of any proposed contract change order that exceeds ten percent (10%) of the Design Cost or Construction Cost for the Facilities Work. CALLEGUAS shall notify the City within five (5) working days of its concurrence or disagreement with the proposed contract change order. CALLEGUAS' failure to so notify the City shall be deemed acceptance of the proposed contract change order. If CALLEGUAS notifies the City that it disagrees with the proposed contract change order, then the Parties will meet and confer within 24 hours of such notification to discuss how to proceed in regard to the proposed change order. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement in regard to the proposed change order, then the City, in its sole discretion, may proceed to complete the proposed change order work and the Parties shall resolve the issue of responsibility for payment of said work after completion of the Project.

f. Upon completion of any individual Project the City shall calculate the final Design Costs and Construction Costs for the Facilities Work, based on amount of actual related expenses incurred, and provide same to CALLEGUAS for payment.

g. If CALLEGUAS elects to complete any work with its own forces and/or contractor as provided in this Agreement, then CALLEGUAS shall obtain an encroachment permit from the City and comply with all the permit requirements.
7. Invoice and Payment:
   a. Within thirty (30) days of the completion of the construction of the Facilities Work, the City will provide an invoice to CALLEGUAS for the amount of the Design Costs and/or Construction Costs. Within sixty (60) days of the receipt of said invoice, CALLEGUAS shall pay City the amount of the non-disputed Design Costs and/or Construction Costs. If CALLEGUAS disputes any of City’s Design Costs and/or Construction Costs, it shall give written notice to City within thirty (30) days of receipt of any disputed Design Costs and/or Construction Costs set forth on the invoice.

   b. In the event construction does not occur, the City will provide an invoice to CALLEGUAS for the amount of the Design Costs. Within sixty (60) days of the receipt of said invoice, CALLEGUAS shall pay City the amount of the non-disputed Design Costs. If CALLEGUAS disputes any of City’s Design Costs, it shall give written notice to City within thirty (30) days of receipt of any disputed Design Costs set forth on the invoice.

8. Faithful Performance Bond: The City shall require its general contractor for the construction of any individual Project subject to this Agreement to furnish a bond conditioned upon the faithful performance of the work associated with construction of all Project work, including Facilities Work. City shall require its general contractor to warrant and guarantee all materials and work for a period of one year after the date of filing of the Notice of Completion on the Project and that the warranty be secured by a warranty bond or an extension of the performance bond for the Project through the one-year warranty period.

9. Indemnification: The City shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless CALLEGUAS and its directors, officers, employees and agents and CALLEGUAS’ engineers from and against any and all liability, loss, damage, claims, demands, expenses, costs (including without limitation reasonable attorneys’ and experts’ fees and costs in connection with litigation) of any kind or nature (including without limitation personal injury, death, or property damage), arising out of the failure of City to comply with any of the obligations of this Agreement, or the performance of Facilities Work by City or its contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) or anyone directly or indirectly employed by them or anyone for whose acts any of them may be liable, except where caused by the sole or active negligence or willful misconduct of CALLEGUAS.

Submission by City of proof of compliance with the insurance requirements of this Agreement shall not relieve City from liability under this indemnity section and CALLEGUAS does not waive any rights against City because of CALLEGUAS’ acceptance of such insurance policies. The obligations of this indemnification section shall apply regardless of whether: (a) such insurance policy or policies shall have been determined to apply to any of such losses, damage, liability, costs, expenses, claims or demands; or (b) CALLEGUAS has prepared, supplied or approved the Plans, or any changes thereof.

CALLEGUAS shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the City and its directors, officers, employees and agents and the City’s engineers from and against any
and all liability, loss, damage, claims, demands, expenses, costs (including without limitation reasonable attorneys' and experts' fees and costs in connection with litigation) of any kind or nature (including without limitation personal injury, death, or property damage), arising out of the failure of CALLEGUAS to comply with any of the obligations of this Agreement, or the performance of Facilities Work by CALLEGUAS or its contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) or anyone directly or indirectly employed by them or anyone for whose acts any of them may be liable, except where caused by the sole or active negligence or willful misconduct of the City.

10. Insurance: Prior to commencing work on any individual Project subject to this Agreement, City shall require its general contractor for the Project to furnish, and City shall provide a copy to CALLEGUAS, evidence of insurance coverage and additional insured endorsements in a form acceptable to CALLEGUAS, naming the City and CALLEGUAS as additional insureds and said insurance shall be primary to any other liability coverage available to the City and CALLEGUAS.

11. Completion and Acceptance: Prior to acceptance of a Project by the City, CALLEGUAS shall have the right to reject any or all of the Facilities Work if the work does not conform to the requirements of the plans and specifications for the Project. Upon satisfactory completion of all required Facilities Work, CALLEGUAS shall certify in writing that the work has been satisfactorily completed; such certification shall not be unreasonably withheld. At the completion of the construction of the Project, the City shall execute, acknowledge, and record a Notice of Completion in the manner provided by law. Upon completion of the construction of the Project, any and all Facilities which were subject to Facilities Work shall vest in and become the property of CALLEGUAS.

12. Compliance with Law: City shall comply, and cause its contractor(s) and subcontractor(s) to comply, with all federal, state, and local statutes, laws, ordinances, rules, regulations, and orders (including safety orders) applicable to the work to be done, and shall provide safe access for CALLEGUAS' inspector to all parts of the Project and to any areas where the work is in progress.

13. Termination of Agreement: This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the City or CALLEGUAS by giving at least sixty (60) calendar days' prior written notice to the other party of intent to terminate the Agreement, except that the mutual indemnification provisions and reimbursement obligations contained herein shall survive such termination for completed Projects. Within sixty (60) days of the date of termination, the City shall provide an Invoice to CALLEGUAS for any accrued Costs. Within sixty (60) days of the receipt of said invoice, CALLEGUAS shall pay City the amount of the invoice.

14. Partial Invalidity: If any term, covenant, condition or provision of this Agreement is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or unenforceable, the remainder of the provisions herein shall remain in full force and effect, and shall in no way be affected, impaired, or invalidated thereby.
15. **Modification Only in Writing:** No alteration or variation of the terms of this Agreement shall be valid unless made in writing and signed by the Parties. No oral understanding or agreement not incorporated herein shall be binding on any of the Parties.

16. **Joint Drafting:** This Agreement has been jointly negotiated and drafted. The language of this Agreement shall be construed as a whole according to its fair meaning and not strictly for or against any party.

17. **California Law:** This Agreement shall in all respects be interpreted, enforced and governed by and under the laws of the State of California applicable to instruments, persons, and transactions, which have legal contact and relationships solely within the State of California. In the event of litigation between the parties, venue in state trial courts will be in the County of Ventura. In the event of litigation in a U.S. District Court, venue will be in the Central District of California, in Los Angeles.

18. **Gender:** Whenever in this Agreement the context so requires:
   a. "And" shall include "or" and vice versa;
   b. The neuter gender shall be deemed to refer to and include the masculine and the feminine gender; and
   c. The singular shall be deemed to refer to and include the plural.

19. **Notices:** All written notices or requests required or permitted to be given under this Agreement will be deemed made when received by the other party at its respective address as follows:

   **To CALLEGUAS:**
   CALLEGUAS Municipal Water District  
   Attention: General Manager  
   2100 Olsen Road  
   Thousand Oaks, California 91360

   **To CITY:**
   City of Camarillo  
   Attention: Director of Public Works  
   601 Carmen Drive  
   Camarillo, California 93010

Notice will be deemed effective on the date personally delivered or transmitted by facsimile or email. If the notice is mailed, notice will be deemed given three days after deposit of the same in the custody of the United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, for first class delivery, or upon delivery if using a major courier service with tracking capabilities.

Any party may change its notice information by giving notice to the other party in compliance with this section.

20. **No Waiver:** No failure or delay by the either party in asserting its rights or remedies hereunder as to any default shall operate as a waiver of the default, of any
subsequent or other default, or any rights or remedies. No such delay shall deprive either party of its right to institute and maintain any action or proceeding which may be necessary to protect, assert, or enforce any rights or remedies arising out of this Agreement or the performance thereof.

21. **Counterparts:** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and such counterparts shall constitute one agreement, binding on all the parties hereto.

22. **Authority:** Each person executing this Agreement warrants and represents to the other party that it has the authority to execute this Agreement, that it has read and fully understands this Agreement, and that it is entering into this Agreement freely and voluntarily.

23. **Further Documents:** Each party hereto agrees to cooperate fully and to carry out the spirit and intent of this Agreement, and shall execute and deliver such additional documents, instruments and other materials as may be reasonably requested by the other party.

24. **Headings:** Paragraph headings in this Agreement are for reference purposes only and shall not be considered in interpreting this Agreement.

SIGNATURES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their undersigned authorized agents.

CITY OF CAMARILLO

David J. Norman, City Manager

ATTEST:

Jeffrie Madland, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CITY ATTORNEY

______________________________

Date

______________________________

Date

CALLEQUAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

Susan B. Mulligan, General Manager

Date
### Upcoming Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan - Tour Colorado River/Hoover Dam*</td>
<td>Fri. 4/28, 7:30 am to Sun. 4/30, 5:00 pm</td>
<td>Hoover Dam, Metropolitan Water Facilities, Salton Sea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Meeting</td>
<td>Wed. 5/3, 5:00 pm</td>
<td>Calleguas Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACWA - Spring Conference*</td>
<td>Tue. 5/9 to Fri. 5/12</td>
<td>Monterey Marriott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA - Water Issues Meeting</td>
<td>Tue. 5/16 7:30 am</td>
<td>AWA Conference Room 5156 McGrath St., Ventura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Meeting</td>
<td>Wed. 5/17, 5:00 pm</td>
<td>Calleguas Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA WaterWise Breakfast*</td>
<td>Thu. 5/18, 7:15 am</td>
<td>Los Robles Banquet Center 299 S. Moorpark Rd., T.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purveyor Meeting</td>
<td>Mon. 5/22, 10:00 am</td>
<td>Calleguas Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance Committee Meeting – Budget Review</td>
<td>Tue. 5/23, 5:00 pm</td>
<td>Calleguas Conference Room A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA - CCWUC*</td>
<td>Wed. 5/24 11:30 am</td>
<td>Wedgewood Banquet Center 5880 Olivas Dr., Ventura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Meeting</td>
<td>Wed. 6/7, 5:00 pm</td>
<td>Calleguas Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA WaterWise Breakfast*</td>
<td>Thu. 6/15, 7:15 am</td>
<td>Courtyard by Marriott 600 E. Esplanade Dr., Oxnard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA - Water Issues Meeting</td>
<td>Tue. 6/20 7:30 am</td>
<td>AWA Conference Room 5156 McGrath St., Ventura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Meeting</td>
<td>Wed. 6/21, 5:00 pm</td>
<td>Calleguas Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA - CCWUC Confined Space Training*</td>
<td>Thu. 6/22, 8:00 am</td>
<td>Fire Department, Camarillo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purveyor Meeting</td>
<td>Mon. 6/26, 10:00 am</td>
<td>Calleguas Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWA - CCWUC*</td>
<td>Wed. 6/28, 11:30 am</td>
<td>Wedgewood Banquet Center 5880 Olivas Dr., Ventura</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reservations required. Contact Kara if you would like to attend.